Search for boosted resonances and semi-visible jets in ATLAS

Mario Campanelli University College London On Behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

BOOST 2023





Introduction

- Since "traditional" new physics searches keep yielding negative results:
 - New non-conventional signatures are being explored, in particular Hidden Sector
 - A set of new invisible particles and interactions only interacting with the SM through "portal" fields, coupling with both the SM and the HS
 - With limits pushed to higher and higher masses, boosted topologies are getting more and more important even for final states involving multiple heavy objects

Dark mesons decaying to top and b quarks ATLAS-CONF-2023-21

- Example of HS particles producing boosted heavy final states
- Mesons from strongly-coupled SU(2) dark flavoured symmetry
- Decay to multiple SM heavy quarks



Final states

- Can have charged or neutral dark pions, that will decay to the heaviest available pair. For this analysis, tt or tb
- Due to boost, decay products reconstructed as single jet with mass endpoint to dark pion mass







Lines indicate signal regions for various mass hypotheses 4

Signal and Control Regions

- Jets must have 2 b-tagged subjets with mbb/pTbb>0.25; 9 Signal Regions identified from masses of the two jets
- For each SR, Control Regions for ABCD BG estimation, for correlations or validation identified by inverting m_{bb}/pT_{bb} or b-tagging requirements
- BG estimated from MC, rescaled after a fit to CR for each CR. Limits in plane of dark pion and rho/pion mass



Associated production of DM, top and W Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 603

- Model-independent search, interpreted in the context of a 2HDM + a pseudoscalar mediator (a) coupling with fermionic DM candidates (simplest UV-complete and renormalisable framework)
- Several parameter assumptions to reduce dimensionality of phase space for interpretation



 $t \rightarrow Wb$ so two Ws in final state; separate analysis of 0L and 1LW and 1Ltop cases.

MET defines 5 signal regions from 250 to 450 GeV

Hadronic Ws identified from trimmed R=1.0 jets, cutting on D₂

Background control

- A series of orthogonal cuts define 2 signal, 6 control and 6 validation regions
- Normalisation of BG samples obtained from fit to data.





Representative distributions in SR and results



Top-philic heavy resonances 2304.01678 [hep-ex]

The large Yukawa coupling between top and Higgs leads naturally to vector resonances coupling almost exclusively to top (e.g. composite Higgs models)



9

Background determination

Background and expected signal have different jet multiplicity. Events are classified into background, validation and signal regions according to number of light and b jets.



Results on signal region

Dijet invariant mass in various signal regions does not show significant excess.

Limits on top-philic resonance production almost massindependent



Semi-visible jets

arXiv:2305.18037 [hep-ex]

In a strongly interacting dark sector, dark quarks can initiate a parton shower mixing SM and dark partons



Dark shower: Dark hadrons do not interact with detector \rightarrow MET

Rint = fraction stable/all dark hadrons in jet

> Due to incomplete shower, relative directions of jets and MET are correlated. Use pT balance between closest and furthest jet to MET

$$p_{\rm T}^{\rm bal} = \frac{|\vec{p}_{\rm T}(j_1) + \vec{p}_{\rm T}(j_2)|}{|\vec{p}_{\rm T}(j_1)| + |\vec{p}_{\rm T}(j_2)|},$$

Paired jet resonance search arxiv: 2307.14944

An unknown resonance Y could decay into two more (unknown!) resonances X producing 4 final-state jets.

Not really substructure, but certainly boosted!



Analysis performed in bins of $\alpha = \langle m_{2j} \rangle / m_{4j}$: the $\langle m_{2j} \rangle$ and m_{4j} distributions are fitted with a function $f(x) = p_1(1-x)^{p_2}x^{p_3+p_4\ln(x)+p_5\ln(x)^2}, x = m/\sqrt{s}$ plus a possible Gaussian (or Crystal Ball) signal



Cross-section limits on modelindependent and model-dependent production are derived

BG fits and results

Observed

Expected:

+ a,M, = 0.05

+ a,M, = 0.10

- a.M. = 0.15

ATLAS

ATLAS

10

(se13 TeV, 140 fb)

95% CL upper limits

2000 3000 4000 5000 6000

 $0.32 \le n \le 0.34$

(5+13 TeV, 140 tb)

95% CL upper limits

 $0.24 \le \alpha \le 0.26$





Observed

Expected.

7000 8000 9000

+ a.M. = 0.05

+ a.M. = 0.10

- a,M, = 0.15

a.M. = 0.05

a.M. = 0.10

a,M, = 0.15

M, [GeV]

1110 11±20





Observed ATLAS \$ 10 + a_xM_x = 0.05 15=13 TeV. 140 fb + a,M, = 0.10 - a,M, = 0.15 $0.32 < \alpha < 0.34$ 95% CL upper limits Expected a, M, = 0.05 a, M, = 0.10 10 $a_x M_x = 0.15$ 1 1 0 1 ± 2 σ 10 10 2500

(e)

(f)

Signal and control regions



MET > 600 GeV

ATLAS

Vs = 13 TeV, 139 fb CR 1L

 $0 < p_{T}^{bal} < 0.6$

 $H_T \ge 600 \text{ GeV}, E_T^{miss} \ge 600 \text{ GeV}$

10⁵

10³

꽃

Events in 9 bins of pT balance and $\Delta \Phi$ between closest and furthest jet to MET.

Dark showers only selected from kinematics, no specific substructure technique used

Signal Region



1L CR: 1 muon, no b-tag (Ws)





 $H_{T} > 600 \text{ GeV}$



Z+jets

Single top

Multiig

 $0.6 < p_{-}^{bal} < 0.9$

W+jets

Diboson

/// Bkg. unc

ff





Results

No excess in HT nor MET distribution 1 Data / after rescaling from fit of control regions



Exclusion assuming coupling between SM and DS λ =1

1500

Values of λ excluded at 95% C.L.

Signal m. [TeV], R

. . 1. 0.6

...1.0.8

..... 2. 0.4

2500

3000

H_r [GeV]

• Data

W+iets

Z+iets

2000

Single to:

Ge

Events

 10^{6}

10⁴

10

s = 13 TeV, 139 fb

1000

600 GeV E^{miss} > 600 GeV





Conclusions

- For all these analyses, the emphasis is not on fancy substructure or ML techniques, but rather on the use of non-standard jets and jet tagging to search for exotic physics
- Even if no positive search result, it shows that non-standard QCD objects have entered the mainstream of LHC analysis

 ps. the LHC jet WG is writing a summary paper on substructure, if interested to contribute (esp. from LHCb, ALICE, theory) please contact me mario.campanelli@cern.ch