Yang-Ting Chien

MIT Center for Theoretical Physics

March 27, 2019 SCET2019, UC San Diego

In collaboration with Iain Stewart, to appear soon

M.S.: Are you dropping all the radiation? Y.-T.C.: No.

Collinear Drop: veto energetic, collinear particles

- Understanding soft QCD is the goal
- Monte Carlo accuracy limited by soft radiation and hadronization modeling
- Want to directly probe soft physics by disentangling hard components of jets
- Specific examples:
 (i) multiple soft drop
 (ii) telescoping deconstruction
 (iii) flattened angularity

Y.-T. Chien

(*ii*) Telescoping deconstruction (1803.03589)



(*i*) Two soft-drop settings $z_{cut1} < z_{cut2}, \beta_1 \ge \beta_2$

(iii) Flattened angularity

$$(z_{\text{cut }1}, \beta_1)$$
 $(z_{\text{cut }2}, \beta_2)$

 $\tau_{\omega} = \sum_{i \in jet} z_i \; \omega(\theta_i)$

Suppress collinear and wide-angle radiation

 $\omega(\theta) \rightarrow 0 \;, \ \ \theta \rightarrow 0, R$

Collinear Drop

2/18

Outline

- Soft drop and collinear drop
- Analytic and Monte Carlo studies
- Conclusions

Soft Drop



Tree-based procedure to drop soft radiation (Larkoski, Marzoni, Soyez, Thaler, 1402.2657)

- Recluster a jet using C/A algorithm: angular ordered tree
- For each branching, consider the p_T of each branch and the angle θ between branches
- Soft drop condition: drop the soft branch if $z < z_{\text{cut}} \theta^{\beta}$, where $z = \frac{\min(p_{T,1}, p_{T,2})}{p_{T,1} + p_{T,2}}$
- (z_{cut}, β) parameterize the operation on jet

Collinear Drop using soft drop + anti soft drop



• $\Delta m^2 = m_{\mathrm{SD}_1}^2 - m_{\mathrm{SD}_2}^2$ probes the mass of the soft radiation within the "ring"

Phase space constraints on the kinematics of soft emissions,

$$z\theta^2 \approx rac{\Delta m^2}{E_J^2} , \qquad z_{
m cut \ l} \left(rac{ heta}{R}
ight)^{eta_1} \lesssim z \lesssim z_{
m cut \ 2} \left(rac{ heta}{R}
ight)^{eta_2}$$

Two collinear-soft modes emerge from the phase space boundaries

$$p_{cs_i} \sim \left(E_J z_{\text{cut}\,i} \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{E_J^2 R^2 z_{\text{cut}\,i}} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2+\beta_i}}, \frac{\Delta m^2}{E_J}, \sqrt{\Delta m^2 z_{\text{cut}\,i}} \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{E_J^2 R^2 z_{\text{cut}\,i}} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} \right) = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i}^2, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}} = E_{cs_i} \left(1, \, \Theta_{cs_i} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}}$$

Y.-T. Chien

Factorization of Δm^2



- ► Two examples:
 - Fixed z_{cut} and varying β (ATLAS 13 TeV data: $z_{cut} = 0.1, \beta = 0, 1, 2.$ 1711.08341)
 - Fixed β and varying z_{cut}
- Identify relevant soft-collinear effective theory modes by corners of phase space boundaries

Factorization of Δm^2



- ► Two examples:
 - Fixed z_{cut} and varying β (ATLAS 13 TeV data: $z_{cut} = 0.1, \beta = 0, 1, 2.$ 1711.08341)
 - fixed β and varying z_{cut}
- Identify relevant soft-collinear effective theory modes by corners of phase space boundaries

Factorization and resummation of Δm^2

► Factorization of ∆m²

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Delta m^2} = \sum_{i=q,g} N_i(\mu) J_{\mathrm{un},i}^{\mathrm{SD}}(z_{\mathrm{cut}\,2},\beta_2,\mu) S_i^{\mathrm{CD}}(\Delta m^2, z_{\mathrm{cut}\,i},\beta_i,\mu)$$

If two soft-drop conditions are hierarchically separated, collinear-soft sector can be further factorized

$$S_i^{\text{CD}}(\Delta m^2,\mu) = \int dk_i D_{C_2,i}(k_2,\mu) S_{C_1,i}(k_1,\mu) \delta(\Delta m^2 - 2E_J(k_1+k_2))$$

• Factorization expression allows us to resum Δm^2 using renormalization group techniques

RG evolution and anomalous dimensions

• Characteristic scales:
$$\mu_{sc_i} = \sqrt{\Delta m^2 z_{\text{cut }i}} \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{(E_J R)^2 z_{\text{cut }i}}\right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}}, \ \mu_{sg_i} = E_J R z_{\text{cut }i}$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{S_C}(\nu,\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = \Gamma_{S_C}(\mu)\tilde{S_C}(\nu,\mu), \ \text{ where } \tilde{S_C}(\nu,\mu) = \int dk \exp\left(-\frac{2E_J \nu k}{e^{\gamma E}}\right) S_C(k,\mu)$$



RG evolution and anomalous dimensions

• Characteristic scales:
$$\mu_{sc_i} = \sqrt{\Delta m^2 z_{\text{cut }i}} \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{(E_J R)^2 z_{\text{cut }i}} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i}{2(2+\beta_i)}}, \ \mu_{sg_i} = E_J R z_{\text{cut }i}$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{S_C}(\nu,\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = \Gamma_{S_C}(\mu) \tilde{S_C}(\nu,\mu), \ \text{ where } \tilde{S_C}(\nu,\mu) = \int dk \exp\left(-\frac{2E_J \nu k}{e^{\gamma_E}}\right) S_C(k,\mu)$$



Resummed Δm^2

► Large logarithms of $\Delta m^2/E_J^2$ are resummed using RG evolution

$$\begin{split} S_{i}^{\text{CD}}(\Delta m^{2},\mu) \\ = & \exp\left[2\frac{2+\beta_{1}}{1+\beta_{1}}C_{i}S(\mu_{sc_{1}},\mu) - 2\frac{2+\beta_{2}}{1+\beta_{2}}C_{i}S(\mu_{sc_{2}},\mu) + 2A_{S_{C_{1},i}}(\mu_{sc_{1}},\mu) + 2A_{S_{C_{2},i}}(\mu_{sc_{2}},\mu)\right] \\ & \left[\frac{z_{\text{cut}1}^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{1}}}}{z_{\text{cut}2}^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{1}}}}\frac{\frac{2+\beta_{2}}{1+\beta_{1}}}{\mu_{sc_{1}}^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{1}}}}\frac{(E_{J}R)^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{1}}}}{(E_{J}R)^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{2}}}}\right]^{\eta_{sc_{1}}}\tilde{D}_{C_{2},i}(\partial\eta,\mu_{sc_{2}})\tilde{S}_{C_{1},i}(\partial\eta + \ln\frac{z_{\text{cut}1}^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{1}}}}{z_{\text{cut}2}^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{1}}}}\frac{\mu_{sc_{1}}^{\frac{2+\beta_{2}}{1+\beta_{2}}}}{(E_{J}R)^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{2}}}},\mu_{sc_{1}} \\ & \frac{1}{\Delta m^{2}}\Big(\frac{\Delta m^{2}z_{\text{cut}2}^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_{2}}}}{\mu_{sc_{1}}^{\frac{2+\beta_{2}}{1+\beta_{2}}}}\Big)^{\eta}\frac{e^{-\gamma_{E}\eta}}{\Gamma(\eta)} \end{split}$$

where $\eta_{sc_1} = 2C_iA_{\Gamma}(\mu, \mu_{sc_1})$ and $\eta = 2C_iA_{\Gamma}(\mu_{sc_2}, \mu_{sc_1})$. $S(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and $A(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ are RG evolution kernels.

$$S(\mu_1,\mu_2) = -\int_{\alpha_s(\mu_1)}^{\alpha_s(\mu_2)} d\alpha \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha)}{\beta(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_1)}^{\alpha} \frac{d\alpha'}{\beta(\alpha')}$$
$$A_i(\mu_1,\mu_2) = -\int_{\alpha_s(\mu_1)}^{\alpha_s(\mu_2)} d\alpha \frac{\gamma^i(\alpha)}{\beta(\alpha)}, \quad A_{\Gamma}(\mu_1,\mu_2) = -\int_{\alpha_s(\mu_1)}^{\alpha_s(\mu_2)} d\alpha \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha)}{\beta(\alpha)}$$

Validation with soft drop: turning off collinear drop



- Grooming-ungrooming transition happens at log₁₀(R²z_{cut}), treated by EFT merging
- Soft drop reduces nonperturbative effects
- Band corresponds to next-to-leading log (NLL) SCET calculation with uncertainty estimated by scale variation. Previous work: Larkoski et al '16, Marzani et al '17, Kang et al '18
- Analytic calculation agrees with Pythia partonic simulation: collinear physics dominates

Validation with soft drop: turning off collinear drop



- Grooming-ungrooming transition happens at log₁₀(R²z_{cut}), treated by EFT merging
- Soft drop reduces nonperturbative effects
- Band corresponds to next-to-leading log (NLL) SCET calculation with uncertainty estimated by scale variation. Previous work: Larkoski et al '16, Marzani et al '17, Kang et al '18
- Analytic calculation agrees with Pythia partonic simulation: collinear physics dominates

Validation with soft drop: turning off collinear drop



- Grooming-ungrooming transition happens at log₁₀(R²z_{cut}), treated by EFT merging
- Soft drop reduces nonperturbative effects
- Band corresponds to next-to-leading log (NLL) SCET calculation with uncertainty estimated by scale variation. Previous work: Larkoski et al '16, Marzani et al '17, Kang et al '18
- Analytic calculation agrees with Pythia partonic simulation: collinear physics dominates

Collinear Drop results



- New and different observables
- $\Delta m^2 = m_{\text{SD}_1}^2 m_{\text{SD}_2}^2$ labeled by $(z_{\text{cut}_1}, \beta_1) (z_{\text{cut}_2}, \beta_2)$
- Increase sensitivity to soft radiation and nonperturbative hadronization
- New hadronization features in Pythia simulation appear
- Band corresponds to NLL SCET calculation with uncertainty estimation

Collinear Drop results



- New and different observables
- $\Delta m^2 = m_{\text{SD}_1}^2 m_{\text{SD}_2}^2$ labeled by $(z_{\text{cut}_1}, \beta_1) (z_{\text{cut}_2}, \beta_2)$
- Increase sensitivity to soft radiation and nonperturbative hadronization
- New hadronization features in Pythia simulation appear
- Band corresponds to NLL SCET calculation with uncertainty estimation

Quark gluon discrimination



- Decompose total leading dijet into quark jet and gluon jet components
- Enhance the difference between quark jets and gluon jets: promising observable for improving quark-gluon discrimination
- Nonperturbative effects enhance the features of quark and gluon peaks in mixed jet samples

Conclusions

- We propose to use collinear-drop observables to directly probe soft physics and color flows in jets
 - for probing soft radiation contributions
 - for testing Monte Carlo simulations
 - for tagging hard probes (color-singlet jet isolation, 1711.11041)
 - for determining hadronization corrections
 - for studying perturbative-nonperturbative transition
 - for probing QCD medium in heavy ion collisions (1803.03589)
- Factorization expression of a specific collinear drop observable is derived in SCET which allows us to resum logarithmically-enhanced contributions
- Future work: detailed studies of hadronization and jet quenching using collinear drop observables