

Deep Learning for Particle Tracking a HEP.TrkX update

Steve Farrell

LBL-HEP-ML Meetup

ATIONAL LABORATORY

Oct 25, 2017

The HL-LHC tracking problem

- Reconstruct thousands of particle tracks from tens of thousands of spacepoint "hits" per beam collision in highly granular detectors (100M channels)
- Traditional approach builds triplet "seeds" then a combinatorial Kalman Filter to build track candidates
- The HEP. TrkX project is exploring various machine learning ideas to try and tackle this challenging pattern recognition problem
	- Collaboration with FNAL and Caltech

Machine learning for tracking

- Applications
	- Clustering hits into tracks
	- Classifying hits (binary or multi)
	- Classifying track candidates
	- Fitting tracks
- Representations
	- Discrete (image-like) vs. continuous (point-cloud)
	- Hit assignments vs. physics quantities
	- Engineered vs. learned representations

Image-based approaches

- Analogs in well-known computer vision tasks
	- segmentation
	- captioning
	- object tracking
- Tracks are *patterns* to be discovered
	- local and hierarchical structure
	- symmetries in the geometry and physics
- Detector layers might also be considered *frames* of a video
	- causally related by the particle dynamics

"Semantic segmentation" with RNNs, CNNs

Image segmentation on toy 3D data

epjconf/201715000003

Image labeling/captioning with CNNs, LSTMs

• Map image to binned track parameter space (multi-label classification task) Prediction Target Input 1.0 1.0 1.0 60 0.9 0.9

Shown at DSHEP 2017: https://github.com/HEPTrkX/heptrkx-dshep17/blob/master/cnn/cnn2d_learning.ipynb

• Produce sequence of discovered track parameters (and uncertainties)

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{w} \text{ is a positive}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \text{ is a positive}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \text{ is a positive}} \mathbf{M} \leftarrow \text{CNN} \leftarrow (\vec{q}_0, \Sigma_0), (\vec{q}_1, \Sigma_1), \cdots
$$

From CTD-WIT 2017: <https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201715000003>

Non-trivial images

• What if the detector is arranged like this?

Non-trivial images

• What if the detector is arranged like this?

- Construct or bin images in sub-volumes
	- E.g., three volumes in barrel, additional images for endcap disks

ACTS image segmentation

Shown at ACAT 2017

- LSTM architecture
- seeded single-track finder
	- binary pixel classification
- barrel layers only
- has mediocre performance as-is
- basic demonstrator of how to extend the toy data case to realistic data
	- but otherwise not a very promising approach as-is

Vertex finding with CNNs

- Represent first 3 barrel layers as an RGB image
- Estimate Z position(s) of the production vertex(es)
	- binned output space; classification or multi-label classification

- Can be used to constrain hit combinatorics in hit-triplet (track seed) formation algorithm
	- threshold decision; use only when confident
- Potential online application to speed up track trigger

Shown at ACAT 2017

11

Vertex finding with CNNs

- Performs ok when finding primary vertex with only handful of pileup vertices
- Mediocre performance at μ =25
- Performs poorly at finding multiple vertices (not shown)
- Probably not good enough yet to be useful
	- Room for improvement

Shown at ACAT 2017

Moving beyond images

- The image formulation brings a number of challenges, particularly when scaling up to realistic data
	- Lossy if binned
	- High dimensionality
	- High sparsity
	- Challenging irregular geometry
- What kinds of ways can you represent spacepoints directly?
	- as a point cloud
	- as a sequence (really a *set*), sorted geometrically
	- as a set of combinatorial search trees
	- as a (directed) graph
- Now things might start to get novel

Exploring the tree

- We've looked at how LSTMs can function as a filter algorithm to learn particle trajectories
- We can put this into a combinatorial tree search to build tracks from seeds
	- Goal: be smarter about choosing hits than Combinatorial Kalman Filter
- Multiple possible approaches to score nodes
	- Predict next-hit location, use guess to score hits
	- Classify track + hit
- Keep top-K candidate nodes and always explore the best one until done

- Starting simple
	- ACTS data with pileup μ =10
	- 3 seed layers
	- Barrel layers only; cleaning up holes, double hits
	- Given correct path so far, train classifier to score 5 closest hits on the next layer
- Shows 100% test set accuracy on this data with fairly simple 2k parameter model
	- Looks promising!
	- Need to train on samples with wrong path as well

Hit sequence to track assignment

- Sort *all* hits in an event according to position
- Feed hits into a few layers of bi-directional recurrent net (GRU)
- Output is a set of assignment probabilities to track groups
	- Ordering of output track categories is similarly sorted as hits
	- Requires assumed maximum number of tracks
- Assignment matrix is trivially block-identity if tracks never "cross"
	- So the model must focus learning on when to swap assignment order
- Accuracy doesn't seem to scale well to high occupancy (yet?)

Graph formulation

- Hits on the detector can be arranged in a graph, with edge weights that quantify compatibility
- There has been a fair bit of buzz in the ML community on methods for deep learning on such structured data
	- <http://geometricdeeplearning.com/>
	- Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data
	- Neural Message Passing for Quantum **Chemistry**
	- Semi-Supervised Classification with **Graph Convolutional Networks**
- There are a variety of possible applications
	- hit classification (binary or multi)
	- hit segment classification
	- hit clustering

Graph neural networks

- There are several approaches to define architectures
	- Laplacian spectral graph convolution (no time to discuss in detail)
		- and several simplified parametrized forms
	- Spatial kernel methods
- But the common idea is that a "patch" operation calculates new features for a node by doing a weighted averaging over its neighbor's features
- A simple graph NN "layer" might look like:

$$
X' = \sigma(AXW + B)
$$

- A is N x N adjacency ("similarity") matrix, possibly normalized in some way
- X is N x D node features (D features per node)
- W is D x D' learned weight matrix
- B is N x D' learned bias matrix
- How to get rich
	- Downsampling via graph coarsening
	- Residual/shortcut connections
	- *• Learnable* adjacency, e.g. parametrized by some kernel function
	- *•* Multiple adjacencies for modeling distinct types of node relations (edge features)
	- *•* Alternate between layers that calculate edge features and node features

Hit classification with GNNs

- Binary classification of hits to find one seeded target track with 2D toy data
- -
- Inputs Node features: (r, x, is_seed) Edge weights: 1 if hits on adjacent layers and segment defines an allowed line (contained in detector), otherwise 0
-
- Architecture
• Graph layers of the form: $X' = \sigma(XW_1 + D^{-1}AXW_2 + B)$
• D is the diagonal degree matrix
	- (normalizes A), σ is a ReLU
	- Input features also stacked onto every hidden graph layer
-
- Performance not very good :(Binary similarity not capturing any useful information
	- Model needs help at distinguishing neighbors

Alternative segment-graph formulation

- We can build a "dual" graph which swaps the nodes and edges
- For the tracking problem, then, it's a graph that relates and learns on the *segments* between hits
- Segments connect to other segments through hits, and we can define similarity in terms of the compatibility of the segments
	- e.g., the change in direction

Segment classification with GNNs

- Binary classification of segments to connect adjacent hits
	- In this case I considered all segments between adjacent layer hits
- Inputs
	- Node features: (r1, r2, x1, x2, slope)
	- Edge weights: Gaus(delta-slope, 0.05) if segments are connected through adjacent layer, otherwise 0
- Architecture similar to before, but I didn't shortcut the inputs to hidden layers
- Performance is great if I make the gaussian kernel sharp enough
	- The correct adjacent segment needs to dominate
	- 96% accuracy
	- 2k parameters

With a sharp enough adjacency, though, the problem is trivial!

Reflecting on GNNs so far

- Some of this looks promising, and graph NNs may be a useful approach
	- but I wouldn't say these are "good" results (yet)
- Limitations are becoming apparent
	- Basic graph-convolutional architectures do a weighted average over neighbors
		- Isotropic kernels using one similarity measure
	- So it's hard to capture the *specific* relationship between one hit and another
- How might they be improved?
	- Anisotropic, learned kernels
	- Alternating graph representations: hits, segments
	- ???
- This has only been a brief first look. There's a fair bit of investigating yet to do.

Conclusion

- HEP. TrkX is chugging along trying crazy things
	- Moving away from image-like construction, trying more novel formulations
	- We're working on getting focused, however
		- Working towards common targets
		- Porting ideas to realistic ACTS data
	- We currently suffer from low manpower
		- only 2-3 "active" researchers as far as I can tell
- Areas of work I still think are promising
	- Image-based techniques localized to detector sections
	- ML-assisted combinatorial tree search
	- Graph-based neural networks

Track fitting with LSTM

GNNs with PyTorch

```
class GraphConvSelfInt(nn.Module):
\boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u}A graph convolution module with separate explicit self-interaction terms.
This module takes an input tensor of node features X and adjancency
matrix A and applies a linear transformation of the form
    X*W1 + A*X*W2 + bwhere (W1, W2) and b are learned weights and biases.
u u udef init (self, input dim, output dim):
    super(GraphConvSelfInt, self). init ()
    self-node_model = nn.Linear(input_dim, output_dim)self. neighbor mod = nn. Linear (input dim, output dim, bias=False)def forward(self, x, a):
    node term = repeat module(self.node mod, x)
    neighbor term = repeat module(self.neighbor mod, torch.matmul(a, x))
    return node term + neighbor term
```
GNNs with PyTorch

```
class GCNBinaryClassifier(nn.Module):
n, n, nA simple graph-convolutional network for binary classification of nodes.
This model applies a feature extractor to each node,
followed by a number of graph conv layers,
followed by a node classifier head.
n \, n \, ndef init (self, input dim, hidden dims, gc type=GraphConvSelfInt):
    super(GCNBinaryClassifier, self). init ()
    # Feature extractor layer
    self. feature extractor = nn.Linear(input dim, hidden dims[0])# Graph convolution layers
    n gc layers = len(hidden dims) - 1
    self.gc layers = nn.ModuleList([gc type(hidden dims[i], hidden dims[i+1]))for i in range(n gc layers)])
    # Node classifier
    self.classifier = nn.Linear(hidden\_dims[-1], 1)def forward(self, x, a):
    # Apply feature extraction layer
    x = F.relu(repeat module(self.feature extractor, x))
    # Apply graph conv layers
    for gc in self.gc_layers:
        x = F.\text{relu}(gc(x, a))# Apply node classifier
    return repeat module(self.classifier, x).squeeze(-1)
```