Collectivity in p+Nucleus Collisions

1

Topics

- Heavy Ion Collisions and QGP
- Small Ridge in pp and pA
- Proton Geometry, Eccentricity and CGC
- Updated Models

RHIC and LHC









Fernando Torales - Acosta



Quark Gluon Plasma

- Nuclear Modification Factor
 -compare to low multiplicity pp
- Jet Quenching
- Collective Flow



Flow

Anisotropy well described by Hydrodynamics

Large number of particles undergoing many interactions.

Describes evolution of the system, **QGP -> QCD**







 $\epsilon_2, \psi_2 \Rightarrow v_2$

 $\epsilon_3, \psi_3 \Rightarrow v_3$

Medium response to initial geometry

Conditions for Thermalization

- System size >> Mean Free path, R/λ>>1?
- How small can a drop of QGP get?
- Can we test this with more peripheral collisions, keeping multiplicity constant?



Number of participants ~ Centrality ~ Multiplicity ~ b

Detector angles



Pythia for pp



Small Near Side Ridge in pp



pPb



PbPb



Near Ridge only at High Multiplicity Events



PHENIX at RHIC

Long-range angular correlations $C(\Delta \phi, p_T)$



Fit correlation plot to 4-term Fourier series

Particle Suppression



• Jet Quenching

 R_{AA} for charged hadrons vs P_T

 No Isolated high multiplicity pA

"We have argued that the high p_{\perp} regime in pPb collisions at the LHC is practically free from final state interactions. This allows to do precision physics of other nuclear effects, such as the impact of nuclear parton distribution functions" nuclphysa.2014.04.023

Demonstrating "that the strong suppression observed in central Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC is not due to an initial-state effect, but rather a fingerprint of the hot matter created in collisions of heavy ions" PhysRevLett.110.082302

Comparison of v3 in AA and pA



V₃ and initial state

- What is the contribution of initial state geometry to flow?
- V₃ largely affected by preequilibrium flow
- More clear in systems with weaker final state interactions

superSONIC: d+Au @ 200 GeV, η/s=0.08

1.5

pt [GeV]

Hydro with pre-equ. flow

with preflow

2



 $\epsilon_2, \psi_2 \Rightarrow v_2$



 $\epsilon_3, \psi_3 \Rightarrow v_3$



0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

0

0

PHENIX v₂{EP

0.5

Geometry of the proton

- Eccentric or Normal?
- Gluon Saturation
 -Colored Glass Condensate
- Different Initial Geometry different final state particle emission









Parton Content of nucleon at low energy

18

Gluon Saturation

- QCD calculations for collisions
 -quark and gluon content of the hadrons that are being collided
- Relativistic Effects
 - Lorentz Contraction Geometry Change
 - Time Dilation lifetimes of fluctuations
 - # gluons seen in a reaction increases with the Energy



Updated Models vs Data



2016 PHENIX Data

Updated Models vs Data



IP-Glasma initial conditions followed by viscous hydrodynamics worked for AA, overestimates for pA, assumes low eccentricity

superSONIC incorporates Glasma, hydrodynamics expansion, and pre-equilibrium dynamics

Summary

High Multiplicity pA has striking similarities to AA

-Not necessarily QGP -If flow results are ambiguous in pA, how does that affect our understanding of AA?

Hydro Dynamics isn't the only relevant physics

-Eccentricity and Glauber Model -Gluon Saturation and CGC

Anisotropy indicates initial geometry is more important that previous thought in pA

References

<See BibTex>

Backups

Importance of Proton Shape



Glasma, Flow, and Proton Shape



Better match with eccentric proton shape



Glasma ('glassy plasma'): Classical, strong chromo-electric and –magnetic color flux tubes between the target and projectile.

They are created by the target and projectile which are highly populated by gluons at small-x ('color glass condensate').

Experiment vs. Glasma

• Glasma diagrams (flux tubes) with gluon saturation reproduce the ridge and explains the systematics of the p-p and p-Pb data well.



28

glasma models and real data

