

#### Backgrounds and Signal Discrimination

- Electron recoil (ER) events have much larger count rate than nuclear recoil (NR) events.
- Possible to discriminate between ER and NR.
- Neutron NR events can mimic WIMP signal.



Blue events from ER calibration (<sup>133</sup>Ba) and Green events from NR calibration (<sup>252</sup>Cf) in CDMS ZIP detector. From [1]

# Understanding Neutron Backgrounds in Experiments

- Neutron backgrounds can be very site, detector, and environment specific.
- Can be difficult to measure accurately.
- Understanding comes from careful consideration of:
  - Theory (relevant sources, distributions, etc.)
  - Simulation (commonly with GEANT and/or FLUKA)
  - Measurements (rate counting)

# Main Sources of Neutrons

- Low energy neutrons from (α, n) reactions and spontaneous fission in surrounding rock and detector components
- High energy neutrons from muon interactions in surrounding rock and detector components

# $(\alpha, n)$ reactions

- <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>235</sup>U, and <sup>232</sup>Th decay chains produce α's.
- Different isotopes have different energy cutoffs for (α, n) reactions



From: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/

# $(\alpha, n)$ reactions

- <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>235</sup>U, and <sup>232</sup>Th decay chains produce α's.
- Different isotopes have different energy cutoffs for (α, n) reactions



From: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/

# $(\alpha, n)$ reactions

- <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>235</sup>U, and <sup>232</sup>Th decay chains produce α's.
- Different isotopes have different energy cutoffs for (α, n) reactions



From: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/

#### Decay Chain Relevance to Neutron Backgrounds

<sup>238</sup> U-chain			<sup>232</sup> Th-Chain		
$\alpha$ -Emitter	Branching ratio	$\alpha$ -Energy	$\alpha$ -Emitter	Branching ratio	$\alpha$ -Energy
U-238	1	4.20	Th-232	1	4.01
U-234	1	4.78	Th-228	1	5.42
Th-230	1	4.69	Ra-224	1	5.69
Ra-226	1	4.78	Rn-220	1	6.29
Rn-222	1	5.49	Po-216	1	6.78
Po-218	1	6.00	Bi-212	0.3594	6.09
Po-214	1	7.69	Po-212	0.64	8.79
Po-210	1	5.30			

Table 3.8  $\alpha$ -Emitters in the <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th chains.

#### From [2]

### CRESST Gran Sasso Neutron Background Analysis (From [2])

Rome

Adriatic

coast

- Experiment moved from Hall A to Hall C between generations.
- Prompted detailed neutron background study.



#### THE A, B AND C OF GRAN SASSO

Experiments at the Gran Sasso National Laboratory are housed in and around three huge halls carved deep inside the mountain, where they are shielded from cosmic rays by 1,400 metres of rock.

CRESST

1.1.

CUORE

HALL



Right: http://www.nature.com/polopoly\_fs/7.4565.1337781034!/image/gransasso-graphic-on-page.jpg\_gen/derivatives/landscape\_630/gran-sassographic-on-page.jpg

Left: https://www.mppmu.mpg.de/english/cresst\_image4.gif

#### CRESST Gran Sasso (α, n) Simulations

Element	U-238 yield	Th-232 yield	Total elemental yield	% yield
	$(n/y/g \operatorname{rock})$	$(n/y/g \operatorname{rock})$	$(n/y/g \operatorname{rock})$	
0	7.80E-1	9.00E-2	8.8E-1	20.03
${ m Si}$	5.00E-2	1.00E-2	6.00E-2	1.36
Al	3.00E-1	5.00E-2	3.50E-1	7.98
${ m Mg}$	$2.01E{+}0$	3.00E-1	$2.31E{+}0$	52.66
Κ	7.00E-2	2.00E-2	9.00E-2	2.02
$\operatorname{Ca}$	2.00E-1	5.00E-2	2.40E-1	5.54
$\mathbf{C}$	4.00E-1	5.00E-2	4.60 E-1	10.41
Total U-238	3.82E + 0			
Total Th-232		5.60E-1		
Total yield in Rock			4.38E + 0	

Table 3.9 Neutron yields from  $(\alpha, n)$  interactions in hall A rock.

- Background rates specific to rock found around Hall A at Gran Sasso
- Contribution levels off after ~1m of rock/concrete

#### **Spontaneous Fission**

- Nucleus decays into two daughter nuclei and 2-3 neutrons.
- Possible in principle for our friends <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>235</sup>U, and <sup>232</sup>Th.
- In practice, only appreciable contribution is from <sup>238</sup>U.

#### CRESST Gran Sasso Total Neutron Flux Comparison

Table 3.14Neutron flux in hall A and hall C.

Energy	Neutron Flux $(10^{-6} n/cm^2 s)$						
(MeV)	Ha	ll A	Hall C	Measurement			
	(8% water in conc.)	(16% water in conc.)	(8% water in conc.)	[Bel 89]			
1 - 2.5	0.35	0.18	0.27	$0.38{\pm}0.01$			
2.5-5	0.18	0.12	0.15	$0.27{\pm}0.14$			
5 - 10	0.05	0.03	0.03	$0.05{\pm}0.01$			

- Careful simulations can reproduce experimental data (measurement performed in hall A)
- Significance of water content to neutron flux raises questions about annual modulation of signal seen by DAMA
  - DAMA's signal persists and not conclusively explained

# **Passive Shielding**

- Usually made of:
  - Wax
  - Hydrocarbon
     (Polyethylene, scintillator, etc.)
  - Water
- Attenuates neutron flux from external sources.



Effect of wax shielding around early CDMS experiment at Stanford Underground Facility. Lead shield designed to reduce Υ flux in detector. From [3]

# CDMS Stanford Underground Facility Neutron Background Analysis



- Images from [4]
- Experiment (CDMS I) conducted at much shallower depth.
- Background analysis on neutrons originating from muon interactions.

# Neutron production processes by muons

- Muon capture followed by neutron emission.
   Falls off after 300 meter water equivalent
- Direct muon spallation ejects neutron  $\mu + \text{Nucleus} \rightarrow \mu + \text{Nucleus}^* + n$
- Neutrons production by hadrons from muongenerated nuclear showers
- Neutrons produced by gammas in muongenerated electromagnetic showers

# Muon Interactions in Detector Components

Lead shielding:

Copper Crysotat: Plots from [3]



- Passive shielding works on neutrons generated by muons external to the detector (for the most part).
- Muons can generate neutrons inside detector by interacting with detector components.

#### Muon Interactions in Detector Components

- Plot shows neutron flux resulting from different muon interaction processes (280 GeV muons).
- Different detector components have different sensitivities.



# **Active Veto Laver**

- Modern experiments have veto layers around experiments.
  - LUX used water with PMTs that monitor for Cherenkov radiation from muons.
  - CDMS II used plastic scintillator for similar purpose
- Veto layers can also detect some high energy ("punchthrough") neutrons from external muon interactions



Right: CDMS II plastic scintillator veto. From [1] Left: LUX water shield. From [6]



# **Additional Strategies**

- Vetoing NR events with multiple scatterings in the detector
  - WIMPs not likely to multiple scatter in the detector
- Vetoing NR events with accompanying ER events
  - These are the result of neutron deep inelastic scattering
- Cleaner and cleaner detector materials



Simulation of neutron scattering multiplicity in a generic liquid xenon detector deep underground. From [7]

# LUX Neutron Backgrounds [8]

- Neutron sources are previously discussed (α, n) and spontaneous fission processes occurring in: – PMTs
  - Accumulation of <sup>210</sup>Pb daughters on detector surfaces (negligible)
  - Cryostats (30% of baseline PMT estimate)
- Punch-through neutrons from muon interactions in rock (30% of baseline PMT estimate)
- Final estimate translates to 3x10<sup>-4</sup> NR events per day passing all cuts
- NR events subdominant background

# CDMS II Neutron Background [1]

- Unvetoed muon-induced NR events for run
  - 0.214 ± 0.0081(stat.) ± 0.0086(syst.) for Ge detectors
  - 0.129 ± 0.0058(stat.) ± 0.0033(syst.) for Si detectors
- Detector component-generated neutron events for run also much less than 1.
- NR background entirely subdominant.

# New Experiment – Nuclear Emulsion (From [9])

- Authors assume passive screening techniques for externally generated neutrons.
- Detailed calculations, simulations, and activity measurements to estimate intrinsic neutron background



# References

[1] S. M. Fallows. *Measurement of Nuclear Recoils in the CDMS II Dark Matter Search*. PhD thesis, University of Minnesota, December 2014.

[2] H. R. T. Wulandari. *Study On Neutron-Induced Background in the Dark Matter Experiment CRESST*. PhD thesis, Institut für Astro-Teilchenphysik der Technischen Universität München, July 2003.

[3] A. D. Silva, *et al*. Neutron background for a dark matter experiment at a shallow depth site. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A, 354:553–559, August 1995.

[4] T. Perera. *The Limiting Background in a Dark Matter Search at Shallow Depth*. PhD thesis, Case Western Reserve University, January 2002.

[5] H. M. Araùjo, et al. Muon-induced neutron production and detection with GEANT4 and FLUKA. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 545(1-2):398–411, June 2005.

[6] S. Fiorucci. The LUX dark matter search. Darkness Visible: Dark Matter in Astrophysics and Particle Physics, August 2010.

[7] M. J. Carson, *et al*. Neutron background in large-scale xenon detectors for dark matter searches. Astroparticle Physics, 21(6):667–687, Sep 2004.

[8] D. C. Malling. *Measurement and Analysis of WIMP Detection Backgrounds, and Characterization and Performance of the Large Underground Xenon Dark Matter Search Experiment*. PhD thesis, Brown University, May 2014.

[9] A. Alexandrov, *et al*. Intrinsic neutron background of nuclear emulsions for directional dark matter searches. arXiv, June 2016.

#### **Other Sources**

P. Sorensen. Sensitivity and backgrounds of the lux dark matter search. APS April Meeting, 2008.

T. Classen. The LUX WIMP detector. 24th Pacific Coast Gravity Meeting, March 2008.

K. Ni. *Development of a Liquid Xenon Time Projection Chamber for the XENON Dark Matter Search*. PhD thesis, Columbia University, 2006.

M. J. Carson, *et al.* Veto performance for large-scale xenon dark matter detectors. Nuclear Instruments and Meth- ods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 548(3):418–426, August 2005.