Exploring QCD-like Dynamics with AMSB

Csaba Csáki with Raffaele d'Agnolo, Rick Gupta, Eric Kuflik, Tuhin Roy, Max Ruhdorfer, Taewook Youn + Andrew Gomes, Hitoshi Murayama, Ofri Telem



LawrenceHitoshiFest September 27, 2024





Discrete Anomaly Matching^{*}

Csaba Csáki[†] and Hitoshi Murayama[‡]

Theoretical Physics Group Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

and

Department of Physics University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

csaki@thwk5.lbl.gov, murayama@thsrv.lbl.gov

Abstract

We extend the well-known 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions for continuous global symmetries to discrete groups. We state the matching conditions for all possible anomalies which involve discrete symmetries explicitly in Table 1. There are two types of discrete anomalies. For Type I anomalies, the matching conditions have to be always satisfied regardless of the details of the massive bound state spectrum. The Type II anomalies have to be also matched except if there are fractionally charged massive bound states in the theory. We check discrete anomaly matching in recent solutions of certain N = 1 supersymmetric gauge theories, most of which satisfy these constraints. The excluded examples include the chirally symmetric phase of N = 1 pure supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories described by the Veneziano–Yankielowicz Lagrangian and certain non-supersymmetric confining theories. The conjectured self-dual theories based on exceptional gauge groups do not satisfy discrete anomaly matching nor mapping of operators, and are viable only if the discrete symmetry in the electric theory appears as an accidental symmetry in the magnetic theory and vice versa.

Instantons in Partially Broken Gauge Groups^{*}

Csaba Csáki[†] and Hitoshi Murayama[‡]

Theoretical Physics Group Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

and

Department of Physics University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

csaki@thwk5.lbl.gov, murayama@lbl.gov

Abstract

We discuss the effects of instantons in partially broken gauge groups on the lowenergy effective gauge theory. Such effects arise when some of the instantons of the original gauge group G are no longer contained in (or can not be gauge rotated into) the unbroken group H. In cases of simple G and H, a good indicator for the existence of such instantons is the "index of embedding." However, in the general case one has to examine $\pi_3(G/H)$ to decide whether there are any instantons in the broken part of the gauge group. We give several examples of supersymmetric theories where such instantons exist and leave their effects on the low-energy effective theory.

``The Footnote paper"

Gauge Theories on an Interval: Unitarity without a Higgs

Csaba Csáki^a, Christophe Grojean^b, Hitoshi Murayama^c, Luigi Pilo^b and John Terning^d

^a Newman Laboratory of Elementary Particle Physics Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

 ^b Service de Physique Théorique, CEA Saclay, F91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
 ^c Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^d Theory Division T-8, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

Abstract

We consider extra dimensional gauge theories on an interval. We first review the derivation of the consistent boundary conditions (BC's) from the action principle. These BC's include choices that give rise to breaking of the gauge symmetries. The boundary conditions could be chosen to coincide with those commonly applied in orbifold theories, but there are many more possibilities. To investigate the nature of gauge symmetry breaking via BC's we calculate the elastic scattering amplitudes for longitudinal gauge bosons. We find that using a consistent set of BC's the terms in these amplitudes that explicitly grow with energy always cancel without having to introduce any additional scalar degree of freedom, but rather by the exchange of Kaluza–Klein (KK) gauge bosons. This suggests that perhaps the SM Higgs could be completely eliminated in favor of some KK towers of gauge fields. We show that from the low-energy effective theory perspective this seems to be indeed possible. We display an extra dimensional toy model, where BC's introduce a symmetry breaking pattern and mass spectrum that resembles that in the standard model.

Gauge Theories on an Interval: Unitarity without a Higgs

Csaba Csáki^a, Christophe Grojean^b, Hitoshi Murayama^c, Luigi Pilo^b and John Terning^d

^a Newman Laboratory of Elementary Particle Physics Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

^b Service de Physique Théorique, CEA Saclay, F91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ^c Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^d Theory Division T-8, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

csaki@mail.lns.cornell.edu, grojean@spht.saclay.cea.fr, murayama@lbl.gov, pilo@spht.saclay.cea.fr, terning@lanl.gov

Abstract

We consider extra dimensional gauge theories on an interval. We first review the de tion of the consistent boundary conditions (BC's) from the action principle. These include choices that give rise to breaking of the gauge symmetries. The boundary ditions could be chosen to coincide with those commonly applied in orbifold thee but there are many more possibilities. To investigate the nature of gauge symm breaking via BC's we calculate the elastic scattering amplitudes for longitudinal g bosons. We find that using a consistent set of BC's the terms in these amplitudes explicitly grow with energy always cancel without having to introduce any additi scalar degree of freedom, but rather by the exchange of Kaluza-Klein (KK) g bosons. This suggests that perhaps the SM Higgs could be completely eliminate favor of some KK towers of gauge fields. We show that from the low-energy effe theory perspective this seems to be indeed possible. We display an extra dimensi toy model, where BC's introduce a symmetry breaking pattern and mass spect that resembles that in the standard model.





Demonstration of Confinement and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in $SO(N_c)$ Gauge Theories

Csaba Csáki,^{1, *} Andrew Gomes,^{1, †} Hitoshi Murayama,^{2, 3, 4, ‡} and Ofri Telem^{2, 4, §}

¹Department of Physics, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

²Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

³Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8583, Japan

⁴Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

We demonstrate that $SO(N_c)$ gauge theories with matter fields in the vector representation confine due to monopole condensation and break the $SU(N_F)$ chiral symmetry to $SO(N_F)$ via the quark bilinear. Our results are obtained by perturbing the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric theory with anomalymediated supersymmetry breaking.

INTRODUCTION

Ever since quarks were proposed as fundamental constituents of the proton, neutron, and numerous hadrons by Gell-mann and Ne'eman [1, 2], it has been a mystery why they cannot be observed directly in experiments. At the same time, protons and neutrons bind in atomic nuclei due to the exchange of light pions predicted by Yukawa [3]. The binding of nuclei, and correspondingly the entire world of chemistry, hinges on pions being much lighter than protons, despite the fact that they are made of the same quarks. The first mystery was "explained" by postulating *confinement* of quarks by condensation of magnetic monopoles via the dual Meißner effect proturns out that we should focus on $N_F \leq N_c - 2$ where we can demonstrate monopole condensation.

In this Letter, we sketch the essence of the analysis, while details are presented in a forthcoming companion paper [28], that will also contain a discussion of the cases where $N_F > N_c - 2$.

ANOMALY MEDIATION

Anomaly mediation of supersymmetry breaking (AMSB) is parameterized by a single number m that explicitly breaks supersymmetry in two different ways. One is the tree-level contribution based on the superpotential







Outline

- Use of AMSB for studying QCD-like theories
- The η ' Potential (and the Axion Mass)
- CP violation at $\theta = \pi$
- Chiral perturbation theory and heavy quark dynamics

The use of AMSB for studying QCD-like theories

- SUSY gives powerful constraints on strong dynamics
- Seiberg (+Intriligator, Hitoshi, ...) was able to nail down phase structure of SUSY QCD in 1994 using
 - Holomorphy
 - 't Hooft anomaly matching
 - Instanton calculations
 - Integrating out/Higgsing
- Obtained many different phases depending on F vs

The phases of SUSY QCD





• N=1 SUSY SU(N) gauge theory with F flavors

	SU(N)	SU(F)	SU(F)	U(1)	$U(1)_R$
Φ,Q			1	1	$\frac{F-N}{F}$
$\overline{\Phi},\overline{Q}$		1		-1	$\frac{F-N}{F}$

• At low energies described in terms of mesons $M_{ij} = Q_i \bar{Q}_j$ and baryons $B_{ij...k} = Q_i Q_j \dots Q_k$

The phases of SUSY QCD



<u>F=0 - Pure SYM</u>

- No matter fields, no continuous flavor symmetry
- Z_{2N} discrete R-symmetry rotating gauginos
- Dynamics: gaugino condensation
- $W = N\Lambda^3$ $\langle \lambda \lambda \rangle = -32\pi^2 \omega_k \Lambda^3$
- Should be truly confining $V(R) \sim \sigma R$

The phases of SUSY QCD



0<F<N: ADS superpotential

- First obtained by Affleck, Dine, Seiberg 1984
- Dynamics generates a non-perturbative superpotential

$$W_{\text{ADS}} = (N - F) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N-F}}{\det M}\right)^{1/(N-F)}$$

• For F=N-1 actually generated by instanton, calculable





- $V(R) \sim \text{constant}$ (at least for F=N-1)
- For F<N-1 gaugino condensation in unbroken group

The phases of SUSY QCD



The phases of SUSY QCD

• A beautiful picture, BUT very different from what we expect in non-SUSY QCD

- Lattice simulations suggest only 2 phases
 - Chiral symmetry breaking
 - For large number of flavors (perhaps as high as F>3N) conformal phase
- Would like to start making connection between SUSY and non-SUSY theories

Adding SUSY breaking

• A long history of perturbing with SUSY breaking terms, for example

- Aharony, Sonnenschein, Peskin, Yankielowicz '95
- Evans, Hsu, Schwetz '95
- Cheng & Shadmi 1998
- Arkani-Hamed & Rattazzi '98; Luty & Rattazzi '99
- Abel, Buican, Komargodsky '11
- Increasingly more systematic approach
- Lots of interesting results, but no clear pattern of what the actual phase structure is

The use of AMSB

• Proposal of Hitoshi in 2021: use anomaly mediated SUSY breaking for perturbing the Seiberg exact results



- AMSB: originally ``designed" to provide a specific implementation for MSSM with predictive soft breaking patterns
- Here we will simply use it only to study phases of gauge theories, not as a BSM model
- Assumption of AMSB: SUSY breaking mediated purely by supergravity, no direct interaction between SUSY breaking sector and matter sector



Randall, Sundrum '98 Giudice, Luty, Murayama, Rattazzi '98 see also Arkani-Hamed, Rattazzi '98



 Assume matter sector sequestered - no direct interactions with SUSY breaking generated

• Only source of SUSY the auxiliary field of supergravity multiplet

AMSB

• Best way to describe effect of AMSB is via the introduction of the Weyl compensator Φ

- This conformal compensator is a spurion for super-Weyl transformations (SUSY rescaling + U(1) rotations) with weight 1
- The effects of SUSY will show up through the coupling $\int d^4\theta \Phi^* \Phi K + \int d^2\theta \Phi^3 W + c c$

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \Phi^* \Phi K + \int d^2\theta \Phi^3 W + c.c.$$

• With the spurion $\Phi = 1 + \theta^2 m$

Pomarol, Rattazzi '99



- If the matter sector is conformal: can scale out Φ by rescaling the fields $\phi_i \to \Phi^{-1} \phi_i$
- For example if $K = \Phi^* \Phi \phi^+ \phi$ and $W = \Phi^3 \phi^3$
- $\phi_i \rightarrow \Phi^{-1} \phi_i$ rescaling will completely remove Φ from the theory no SUSY breaking
- SUSY breaking will be tied to violations of conformality! UV insensitive process!

Loop induced AMSB effects

• If scale invariance broken via RGE running:

• For example in SUSY QCD

$$m_{\lambda} = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} (3N_c - N_f)m$$

$$m_Q^2 = m_{\tilde{Q}}^2 = \frac{g^4}{(8\pi^2)^2} 2C_i(3N_c - N_f)m^2$$

Loop induced AMSB effects

 Loop induced breaking terms provide positive squark masses and gaugino mass - massless spectrum that of ordinary QCD

 For AMSB version of MSSM slepton masses were problematic - right handed sleptons were tachyonic.
 Here only AF gauge group - AMSB gives perfect UV boundary condition

A surprise - tree-level AMSB effects

• If there is a non-scale invariant superpotential: will contribute to AMSB potential

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{tree}} = m \left(\phi_i \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi_i} - 3W \right) + c.c.$$

- Vanishes for dim 3 superpotential, but not in general
- Expression for general Kähler potential:

C.C., Gomes, Murayama, Telem '21

$$V_{\text{tree}} = \partial_i W g^{ij^*} \partial_j^* W^* + m^* m \left(\partial_i K g^{ij^*} \partial_j^* K - K \right)$$
$$+ m \left(\partial_i W g^{ij^*} \partial_j^* K - 3W \right) + c.c.$$

A non-perturbative AMSB potential

• Example: SU(N) for N_f < N_c. ADS Superpotential

$$\left(N_c - N_f\right) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\det M}\right)^{1/(N_c - N_f)}$$

• Will lead to induced term from $\int d^2 \theta \Phi^3 W_{ADS}$

Along

$$-(3N_c - N_f)m\left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\phi^{2N_f}}\right)^{1/(N_c - N_f)} + c.c.$$

direction
$$\begin{pmatrix}1 \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q = \tilde{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi, \qquad M = \phi^2.$$



A non-perturbative AMSB potential

• $-(3N_c-N_f)m\left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c-N_f}}{\phi^{2N_f}}\right)^{1/(N_c-N_f)}+c.c.$ term is key

- Non-perturbative effect involving SUSY breaking
- AMSB allows us to pin down this term
- Formally tree-level but really must be a nonperturbative effect including SUSY breaking
- Will stabilize ADS superpotential!
- Will give rise to proper symmetry breaking pattern!

Phase for QCD* for Nf<Nc



- Symmetry breaking pattern $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \rightarrow SU(N_f)_V$
- As in QCD, massless DOF's just pions
- Could be continuously connected to actual QCD for m>> Λ

The phases of SUSY QCD/AMSB QCD



<u>Chiral Lagrangian and n' potential - ``usual"</u>

- Naive assumption U(1)_A anomalous, broken by instantons, so instanton effects will give mass to η '?
- Form of chiral Lagrangian would be

$$\mathcal{L} = f_{\pi}^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[(\partial_{\mu} U)^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} U \right] + a \Lambda f_{\pi}^{2} \operatorname{Tr} m_{Q} U + \text{h.c.}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{inst}} = b \Lambda^{2} f_{\pi}^{2} e^{-i\theta} \det U + \text{h.c.}$$

• In terms of η' $V_{\eta'} = -2b\Lambda^2 f_\pi^2 \cos(\theta - F\eta')$

<u>Chiral Lagrangian and η' potential - ``usual"</u>

- Would correspond to instanton effect because $\sim e^{i\theta}$
- Would give η ' mass ~ Λ
- Consistent with spurion analysis for axial U(1):

$$\theta \to \theta + 2 F \varphi$$

 $\eta' \to \eta' + 2 \varphi$

• After integrating out $\mathbf{\eta}'$ $\eta' = (\theta + 2k\pi)/F$ $V_{\pi} = -\alpha \Lambda f_{\pi}^2 e^{i(\bar{\theta} + 2\pi k)/F} \operatorname{Tr}(m_q e^{i\pi^a T^a}) + \text{h.c.}$ $= -2\alpha \Lambda f_{\pi}^2 \sum_{i=1}^F m_i \cos\left(\frac{\bar{\theta} + 2\pi k}{F} + \sum_{j=1}^{F-1} t_i^j \pi^j\right)$

The axion mass R. d'Agnolo, R. Gupta, E. Kuflik, T. Roy,

• To get θ dependence of potential also integrate out pions: $V_2 = -2\alpha\Lambda f_{\pi}^2 \left[m_u \cos\left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{2} + k\pi + \pi^0\right) + m_d \cos\left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{2} + k\pi - \pi^0\right) \right]$

$$V_{\rm min} = -2|\alpha|\Lambda f_{\pi}^2 \sqrt{m_u^2 + m_d^2 + 2m_u m_d \cos\bar{\theta}}$$

• If we also had a physical QCD axion: separate PQ symmetry $a \rightarrow a + \varphi, \ \theta \rightarrow \theta - n\varphi$

$$V_a = -2\alpha\Lambda^2 f_\pi^2 \sum_{i=1}^F \frac{m_i}{\Lambda} \cos\left(\frac{\bar{\theta} + an}{F} + \sum_{j=1}^{F-1} t_i^j \pi^j\right)$$

 To get axion mass: integrate out pions! With axion easy to solve EOM's, quadratic term around minimum

$$V_a = \alpha \Lambda^2 f_\pi^2 \sum_{i=1}^F \frac{m_i}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{an}{F} + \sum_{j=1}^{F-1} t_i^j \pi^j \right)^2$$

Condensates and large N limit

• Issue: large N limit anomaly vanishes

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{A} \sim F \frac{g^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} \text{Tr}G\tilde{G} \sim \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^{2}} \frac{F}{N} \text{Tr}G\tilde{G} \rightarrow 0$$

- $\bullet~\eta^{\prime}$ mass should vanish in this limit
- But from $V_{\eta'} = -2b\Lambda^2 f_{\pi}^2 \cos(\theta F\eta')$ does not vanish for large N
- Witten: η' needs to cancel θ dependence of pure QCD vacuum energy $E(\theta) = N^2 f(\theta/N)$ $m_{\eta'}^2 \propto \frac{1}{N}$

• Form of potential $\mathcal{L}_{\eta'} = N\Lambda^2 f_{\pi}^2 (e^{-i\theta} \det U)^{1/N} + h.c.$

The Chiral Lagrangian and η' potential

- Non-analytic how is it 2π periodic in θ ?
- Need to have several branches, potential of the form

$$V(\theta, \eta') = \operatorname{Min}_k - 2N\Lambda^2 f_\pi^2 \cos(\frac{\theta - F\eta' + 2\pi k}{N}), \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1$$



The Chiral Lagrangian and η' potential

• η ' acts like a (heavy) axion and relaxes to minimum of potential to cancel θ dependence (and wash out branch structure) $\langle n' \rangle = \frac{\theta + 2\pi k}{2\pi k}$

$$\langle \eta' \rangle = \frac{\sigma + 2\pi \pi}{F}$$

- Check this picture in AMSB QCD
- Similar work & results by Dine, Draper, Stephenson-Haskins & Xu (2016). They were using soft squark and gluino masses - can reliably do only for F<N

<u>η' potential for F<N in AMSB QCD</u>

R. d'Agnolo, R. Gupta, E. Kuflik, T. Roy, M. Ruhdorfer and C.C.

Consider first F<N - with quark mass

$$W = (N - F) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N-F}}{\det M}\right)^{1/(N-F)} + \operatorname{Tr}(M_Q M)$$

The meson VEV as usual

$$\phi = \Lambda \left(\frac{N+F}{3N-F}\frac{\Lambda}{m}\right)^{(N-F)/(2N)} + \mathcal{O}(m_Q/m)$$

• The meson matrix:

$$Q_f^a = |\phi| \delta_f^a$$
, $\bar{Q}_f^a = Q_{f'}^a U_{f'f}$, $M = |\phi|^2 U$

 η' part of U matrix, need to make sure we keep the whole phase everywhere

• Chiral Lagrangian:

$$V = -m \left[(3N - F) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N-F}}{|\phi|^{2F}} \right)^{1/(N-F)} \det(U)^{-1/(N-F)} + |\phi|^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(m_{Q}U) \right] + c.c.$$
$$-2 \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N-F}}{|\phi|^{2F}} \right)^{1/(N-F)} \det(U)^{-1/(N-F)} \operatorname{Tr}(m_{Q}^{\dagger}U^{\dagger}) + c.c.$$

• Has the branch structure like Witten predicted, but 1/ (N-F) power. η^{\prime} potential:

$$V = -2(3N-F)\left(\frac{N+F}{3N-F}\right)^{-F/N}\left(\frac{m}{|\Lambda|}\right)^{F/N}m|\Lambda|^3\cos\left(\frac{F}{N-F}\frac{\eta'}{f_{\eta'}} - \frac{\theta+2\pi k}{N-F}\right)$$
$$-2F\left(\frac{N+F}{3N-F}\right)^{1-F/N}\left(\frac{m}{|\Lambda|}\right)^{F/N}|m_Q||\Lambda|^3\cos\left(\frac{\eta'}{f_{\eta'}} + \theta_Q\right)$$
$$-4F\left(\frac{N+F}{3N-F}\right)^{-F/N}\left(\frac{m}{|\Lambda|}\right)^{F/N}|m_Q||\Lambda|^3\cos\left(\frac{N}{N-F}\frac{\eta'}{f_{\eta'}} + \theta_Q - \frac{\theta+2\pi k}{N-F}\right)$$

• **Pure QCD:**
$$V_k \xrightarrow{F=0} -6N^2 m |\Lambda_{phys}|^3 \cos\left(\frac{\theta + 2\pi k}{N}\right)$$

- Just like Witten predicted (also Dine et al)
- For small number of flavors:

$$V_k \stackrel{N \gg F}{\to} -6N^2 m |\Lambda_{\text{phys}}|^3 \cos\left(\frac{F}{N}\eta' - \frac{\theta + 2\pi k}{N}\right) - \frac{14}{3}N|\Lambda_{\text{phys}}|^3 \sum_{i=1}^F m_i \cos\left(\eta' + \theta_Q + \sum_{j=1}^{F-1} t_i^j \pi^j\right)$$

•Again just as Witten predicted, and as in Dine et al.

$$m_{\eta'}^2 \propto F^2 m |\Lambda_{\rm phys}|^3 / f_\pi^2 \sim 1/N$$

- F~N both large the situation is very different!
- For example F=N-1 and both large

$$V_k \xrightarrow{N=F+1\gg1} - 4N^{3/2}m^2 |\Lambda_{\rm phys}|^2 \cos\left((N-1)\eta' - \theta\right) - 2N^{1/2}m|\Lambda_{\rm phys}|^2 \sum_{i=1}^F m_i \cos\left(\eta' + \theta_Q + \sum_{j=1}^{F-1} t_i^j \pi^j\right) - 4N^{1/2}m|\Lambda_{\rm phys}|^2 \sum_{i=1}^F m_i \cos\left(N\eta' + \theta_Q - \theta + \sum_{j=1}^{F-1} t_i^j \pi^j\right)$$

- No branches, $\eta^{'}$ mass does not go to zero

$$m_{\eta'}^2 = \frac{(x-3)^2 x}{(x+1)(x-1)^2} m^2$$
, with $x = \frac{F}{N}$

 Large F,N qualitatively different from large N, fixed F limits!

- For N-F>1 NOT an instanton effect
- We know it is actually gaugino condensation
- For F=N-1 it actually IS an instanton effect, and no branches in QCD
- In that case the η^{\prime} mass does not vanish for large N
- But also anomaly does not vanish, since both $F,N \to \infty$
- Which one is QCD? Does QCD with F=N have branches or not?

• The F=N,N+1 special cases

 Only consider mesonic VEV, assume other branches OK

• F=N
$$W = X \left(\frac{\det(M) - \bar{B}B}{\Lambda^{2N}} - 1 \right) + m_Q \operatorname{Tr}(M)$$
$$K = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(M^{\dagger}M)}{\alpha |\Lambda|^2} + \frac{X^{\dagger}X}{\beta |\Lambda|^4} + \frac{\bar{B}^{\dagger}\bar{B}}{\gamma |\Lambda|^{2N-2}} + \frac{B^{\dagger}B}{\delta |\Lambda|^{2N-2}}$$

- Resulting η ' potential $V = -2|\Lambda|^2(|\Lambda|^2 + (N-2)m^2)\cos(N\eta' - \theta) - 2m|\Lambda|^2\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\cos\left((N-1)\eta' - \theta_Q - \theta - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} t_i^j\pi^j\right)$ $-4m|\Lambda|^2\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\cos\left(\eta' + \theta_Q + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} t_i^j\pi^j\right).$
- No branches looks like an instanton effect!

<u>n' potential for F≥N</u>

- The F=N,N+1 special cases
- No branches looks like an instanton effect! E.g. F=N

$$V = -2|\Lambda|^{2}(|\Lambda|^{2} + (N-2)m^{2})\cos(N\eta' - \theta) - 2m|\Lambda|^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}m_{i}\cos\left((N-1)\eta' - \theta_{Q} - \theta - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}t_{i}^{j}\pi^{j}\right)$$
$$-4m|\Lambda|^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}m_{i}\cos\left(\eta' + \theta_{Q} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}t_{i}^{j}\pi^{j}\right).$$

- F>N+1 Seiberg duality. Dual quarks will be massive, get again gaugino condensation
- We will get very similar results as for F<N, with N-F \leftrightarrow F-N $V_{k} = -4(3N-2F) \left(\frac{2F-3N}{N}\frac{m}{|\Lambda|}\right)^{F/(2N-F)} m|\Lambda|^{3} \cos\left(\frac{F}{F-N}\eta' - \frac{\theta+2\pi k}{F-N}\right)$ $-2F \left(\frac{2F-3N}{N}\frac{m}{|\Lambda|}\right)^{N/(2N-F)} |\Lambda|^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{F} m_{i} \cos\left(\frac{N}{F-N}\eta' - \theta_{Q} - \frac{\theta+2\pi k}{F-N} - \sum_{j=1}^{F-1} t_{i}^{j}\pi_{j}\right)$ $-\frac{4FN}{2F-3N} \left(\frac{2F-3N}{N}\frac{m}{|\Lambda|}\right)^{N/(2N-F)} |\Lambda|^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{F} m_{i} \cos\left(\eta' + \theta_{Q} + \sum_{i=1}^{F-1} t_{i}^{j}\pi_{j}\right),$

Spontaneous CP breaking

M. Ruhdorfer, T. Youn and C.C.

- $TrG\tilde{G}$ odd under CP a θ term generically breaks CP explicitly (strong CP problem)
- However for $\theta = \pi$ the effect of CP is $\theta = \pi \leftrightarrow \theta = -\pi$
- But these two are related by 2π shift in θ , so CP is not explicitly broken here. But is it spontaneously broken?
- Claim: in ordinary QCD it may.
- Results of Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, Seiberg (2017-18) + di Vecchia, Rossi, Veneziano, Yankielowicz (2017)

Spontaneous CP breaking

- Also for large N Witten 1979-80, di Vecchia, Veneziano 1980
- For F=0 CP spontaneoulsy broken. Also holds at finite N (Zohar et al anomaly matching arguments)
- For F>1 and all masses equal CP also spontaneously broken
- For F=1 there is a critical mass at which second order phase transition
- Similarly for F>1 unequal masses critical surface

• F=1 (implying F<N) the potential is

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{2}{\phi} \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N-1}}{\phi^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} - 2\phi \, m_Q \right|^2 - m \left[(3N-1) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N-1}}{\phi^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} + \phi^2 m_Q \right] + \text{h.c.} \,.$$

- where $M = \phi^2$ and $K = 2 \phi^\dagger \phi$.
- Since under CP $M \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} M^{\dagger}$ want to separate out phase $\phi = f \exp(i\delta_f)$
- Potential to minimize:

$$V_{\ell} = 2 x^{\frac{1-N}{N}} |\Lambda|^{\frac{3N-1}{N}} \left(m_Q^2 + x^2 - mm_Q \cos(2\delta_f) - m(3N-1)x \cos\left[\frac{2\delta_f - \bar{\theta} - 2\pi\ell}{N-1}\right] -2m_Q x \cos\left[\frac{2N\delta_f - \bar{\theta} - 2\pi\ell}{N-1}\right] \right), \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, N-2,$$

• Here $x = |\Lambda| \left(\frac{|\Lambda|}{f}\right)^{\frac{2N}{N-1}}$. For N=2,3 can analytically find minimum. From this find critical masses

$$\frac{m_{Q,0}}{m}\Big|_{N=2} = \frac{3}{2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(-\frac{25}{27}\right) - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \approx 0.576511$$

$$\frac{m_{Q,0}}{m}\Big|_{N=3} = \frac{14}{9}\cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(-\frac{289}{343}\right) - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{9} \approx 0.398853$$

Can also find for large N limit

$$\frac{m_{Q,0}}{m}\Big|_{N\gg 1} = \frac{9}{7}\frac{1}{N} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^2$$

However for N>3 only numerical solution



The phase structure for F=1



- The η ' potential as we pass through the critical mass
- At the critical mass $\boldsymbol{\eta}'$ itself becomes massless

F=1 phase structure



Phase structure for F>1



- For equal masses CP always broken
- Unequal masses have phase boundary critical mass reappears in decoupling limit
- QCD-like mass ratios?

The phase boundaries



- The η ' becomes massless at the phase boundaries
- Second order PT

T. Roy, M. Ruhdorfer, T. Youn and C.C.

- Once we add the quark masses, can also study
 - Detailed structure of VEVs
 - Meson masses corrections to GMO
 - Meson decays

• Take F=3 and use either F<N (easier but less dynamics) or F=N (harder but more similar to actual QCD dynamics)

F = 3: F < N and $F = N \& \Lambda \gg m > m_s > m_d > m_u$

- Leading order in quark masses:
 - All VEVs equal
 - Gell-Mann Okubo satisfied

Mass / Theory	F < N	F = N
$m_{\pi^0}^2$	$(m_d + m_u)A$	$(m_d + m_u)B$
$m_{\pi^{\pm}}^2$	$(m_d + m_u)A$	$(m_d + m_u)B$
$m_{K^0}^2$	$(m_d + m_s)A$	$(m_d + m_s)B$
$m_{K^{\pm}}^2$	$(m_u + m_s)A$	$(m_u + m_s)B$
m_{η}^2	$\left \frac{1}{3}(m_d + m_u + 4m_s)A \right $	$\frac{1}{3}(m_d + m_u + 4m_s)B$
$m_{\eta'}^2$	$\left \begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{3}(m_d + m_u + m_s)A \end{array} \right $	$\frac{6\beta\Lambda^2}{m} - \frac{6m}{\alpha} - \frac{4}{3}(m_d + m_u + m_s)B$

$$A = \frac{\Lambda^3}{f^2} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{f}\right)^{\frac{6}{N-3}} + \frac{m}{2}, \quad B = \frac{\alpha^2 X}{2\Lambda^2} + \alpha m$$

• Corrections to quark condensates:

$$f_i = f^0 + m_s f_i^1 + m_q f_i^2 + \Delta m_q f_i^3 + \cdots$$

 $m_q \equiv m_d + m_u, \qquad \Delta m_q \equiv m_d - m_u$

For F < N

$$f_d \simeq \left(1 + \frac{m_d}{18}\right) \frac{\Lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3m}}, \quad f_u \simeq \left(1 + \frac{m_u}{18}\right) \frac{\Lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3m}}, \quad f_s \simeq \left(1 + \frac{m_s}{18}\right) \frac{\Lambda^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3m}}$$

For F = N

$$\begin{split} f_d &\simeq \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{6\alpha\beta\Lambda^2} + \frac{m(m_s + m_q)}{18\beta\Lambda^2} - \alpha \frac{m_s - m_q/2}{12m} + \alpha \frac{\Delta m_q}{8m}\right)\Lambda \\ f_u &\simeq \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{6\alpha\beta\Lambda^2} + \frac{m(m_s + m_q)}{18\beta\Lambda^2} - \alpha \frac{m_s - m_q/2}{12m} - \alpha \frac{\Delta m_q}{8m}\right)\Lambda \\ f_s &\simeq \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{6\alpha\beta\Lambda^2} + \frac{m(m_s + m_q)}{18\beta\Lambda^2} + \alpha \frac{m_s - m_q/2}{6m}\right)\Lambda \end{split}$$

For both cases

$$\frac{f_d}{f_u} = 1 - \frac{\Delta m_q}{m_s - m_q/2} \left(1 - \frac{f_s}{f_u}\right) + \cdots$$

• In agreement with ChPT Gasser/Leutwyler

$$\frac{\langle 0|\bar{d}d|0\rangle}{\langle 0|\bar{u}u|0\rangle} = 1 - \frac{m_{\rm d} - m_{\rm u}}{m_{\rm s} - \hat{m}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\langle 0|\bar{s}s|0\rangle}{\langle 0|\bar{u}u|0\rangle} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2 F_0^2} \left(M_{\rm K}^2 - M_{\pi}^2 - M_{\pi}^2 \ln \frac{M_{\rm k}^2}{M_{\pi}^2}\right) \right\}$$

• Corrections to Gell-Mann Okubo sum rule

For
$$F < N$$

$$\Delta_{\text{GMO}} = -\left(\frac{7}{27} + \frac{1}{648} \frac{m_q}{m}\right) m_s^2 + \frac{7}{27} m_s m_q \sim -2000 \text{ MeV}^2$$
For $F = N$

$$\Delta_{\text{GMO}} = \left(\alpha^2 + \frac{\alpha^3}{4} \frac{m_q}{m} - \frac{7\alpha^2}{36\beta} \frac{mm_q}{\Lambda^2}\right) m_s^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} + \frac{7\alpha^2}{36\beta} \frac{mm_q}{\Lambda^2}\right) m_s m_q$$

 $\Delta_{\rm GMO}$ is negative for F < N, while not for F = N unless $m \gg \Lambda,$ which is ordinary QCD

Heavy quark physics?

- Can calculate meson decays
- Semi-leptonic decays: ChPT:

$$\begin{split} \langle \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} U \rangle &\to \langle \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} G_{F}[Q_{W}] \bar{\ell} \gamma^{\mu} \nu U \rangle \to G_{F}(\partial_{\mu} K \pi) (\bar{\ell} \gamma^{\mu} \nu) \\ \partial^{\mu} \to D^{\mu} &= \partial^{\mu} + G_{F} Q_{W} J^{\mu}_{W} \quad J^{\mu}_{W} = \bar{\ell} \gamma^{\mu} \nu \end{split}$$

• In AMSB QCD:

$$\langle M^{\dagger}M\rangle \rightarrow \langle M^{\dagger}e^{Q_{W}J_{W}}Me^{-Q_{W}J_{W}}\rangle \rightarrow G_{F}(\partial_{\mu}K\pi)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\nu)$$

In progress, also want to look at hadronic decays

- Some of the issues in heavy quark physics can be addressed those the don't involve mixing with generic heavy QCD states
- Charm decay constant

$$f_q^2 = \left(\frac{m_c}{m_*^{N-F+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \Lambda^{\frac{3N-F}{N}}, \qquad f_c^2 = \frac{m_q}{m_c} f_q^2$$

• η_c - η' mixing

$$\sin \theta_{\eta} = \sqrt{\frac{m_{*}}{m_{c}}} \text{ for } F < N$$

$$\sin \theta_{\eta} = \frac{m_{*}}{m_{c}} \text{ for } F = N \text{ and } N + 1 < F < \frac{3}{2}N$$

$$\sin \theta_{\eta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ for } F = N + 1$$

For
$$F < N$$
 and $N + 1 < F < \frac{3}{2}N$

- $\eta_{
 m c}$, η' masses
- $m_{\eta^\prime}^2 \sim m_*^2$, $m_{\eta_c}^2 \sim m_c^2$

For F = N, N + 1

Heavily depends on the form of Kähler potentials

$$m_{\eta'}^2\sim\Lambda^2$$
 , $m_{\eta_c}^2\sim\Lambda^2$ or $m_{\eta'}^2\sim m_c^2$, $m_{\eta_c}^2\sim m_c^2$

Need a better understanding



- Softly broken SUSY theories lab for studying confinement and QCD physics
- AMSB is UV insensitive, produces QCD-like phase structure
- η' potential: for most cases not instanton induced, except for special cases F=N-1,N,N+1
- Reproduce the structure of CP phases at $\theta = \pi$
- Can study some meson physics vacuum structure, mass sum rules, semi-leptonic decays, heavy charm physics,....

Happy birthdays - and please more physics!!!!



