

outline

- Why hot QCD
- How and what we measure
- Controlling the collision
- Collective behavior in QCD
- Parton interactions with quark gluon plasma
- Color screening in quark gluon plasm

Hot, dense QCD matter





At high temperature/density screening by produced colored particles Expect phase transition to deconfined quark gluon plasma Lattice QCD $\rightarrow T_c \sim 150 \text{ MeV}$



heavy ion collision & diagnostics



not possible to measure as a function of time nature integrates over the entire collision history

4

study plasma with radiated & "probe" particles

as a function of transverse momentum
 90° is where the action is (max T, ρ)
 p_L between the two beams: midrapidity

• p_T < 1.5 GeV/c

"thermal" particles π,K,p, etc. radiated from bulk medium "internal" plasma probes

• p_T > 3 GeV/c

"large E_{tot}" (p_T or M >>T)
set scale other than T(plasma)
autogenerated "external" probe
describe by perturbative QCD

 control probe: photons
 EM, not strong interaction produced in Au+Au by QCD
 Compton scattering





Some cool observables

• Compare p+A to p+p for gluon distribution in A

Use QCD Compton scattering



What to measure to study thermalization (pre-equilib)?

- Penetrating probes
 - γ or e⁺e⁻ emitted during thermalization?
- Lasting probes (which may survive later stages)
 Correlations and fluctuations among groups of particles
 Pre-equilibrium flow?



How to measure all this stuff?



+ dE/dx & Time-of-flight: hadron ID + Cherenkov & TRD: electron ID High granularity to handle high multiplicity 7

Handling high multiplicities



1700 particles per unit η Challenge for Si: # of space points Challenge for TPC: space charge Trick: reconstruct collision vertex & use it in track reconstruction Luminosity = frequency*n₁*n₂/($4\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y$) higher Z -> lower n @RHIC < \mathcal{L} > in Au+Au /pp ~ 1/600

Just how tough is this?

For p+p collisions, value is about 4 particles per unit η

But, luminosity is much higher!

Pileup in high luminosity p+p = 150 events/crossing (dN/d η ~ 600)

but these are from different vertices!!

Pile-up rate in ATLAS in 2015?

ATLAS handles a similar tracking problem (it can do HI collisions!)

PID in ALICE



Measuring photons



Geometry of heavy ion collisions



Use Glauber model of nucleons in nuclei calculate # of participant nucleons N_{part} # of binary NN collisions N_{coll}

Glauber model: calculate probabilities



Probability of n interactions

Thickness function in nucleus A: $\hat{T}_A(\mathbf{s}) = \int \hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{s}, z_A) dz_A$ s measures transverse overlap – given by b and nucleus R

Thickness function for A+B:
$$\hat{T}_{AB}(\mathbf{b}) = \int \hat{T}_A(\mathbf{s}) \hat{T}_B(\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{b}) d^2s$$

Probability of n interactions is given by binomial distribution:

$$P(n, \mathbf{b}) = {\binom{AB}{n}} \left[\hat{T}_{AB}(\mathbf{b}) \,\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{NN}}\right]^n \left[1 - \hat{T}_{AB}(\mathbf{b}) \,\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{NN}}\right]^{AB-n}$$

Number of nucleon-nucleon collisions:

$$N_{\text{coll}}\left(b\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{AB} nP\left(n,b\right) = AB\hat{T}_{AB}\left(b\right)\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{NN}}$$





Energy Density



Energy density far above transition value predicted by lattice.



PHENIX: Central Au-Au yields

$$\left\langle \frac{dE_T}{d\eta} \right\rangle_{\eta=0} = 503 \pm 2 \, GeV \qquad \varepsilon \sim 15 \frac{GeV}{fm^3} @ \tau = 0.6 \, fm/c \, (thermalization)$$

Does the matter exhibit collectivity?

Look for collective flow via velocity boosts



Model expansion of the system with fluid dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \\ \rho v \\ e \end{pmatrix} + \partial_x \begin{pmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + p \\ \rho uv \\ u(e+p) \end{pmatrix} + \partial_y \begin{pmatrix} \rho v \\ \rho uv \\ \rho v^2 + p \\ v(e+p) \end{pmatrix} - \\ \partial_x \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tau_{11} \\ \tau_{12} \\ \tau_{11}u + \tau_{12}v + k\partial_x \Theta \end{pmatrix} - \partial_x \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tau_{21} \\ \tau_{22} \\ \tau_{21}u + \tau_{22}v + k\partial_y \Theta \end{pmatrix} = 0 \,, \end{aligned}$$

Nuclear theorists have found solutions for 3-D viscous hydro!

where u and v are the components of the velocity, ρ the density, p the pressure, e total energy density, τ_{ij} the components of the viscous part of the stress tensor, Θ the absolute temperature and k is the heat conductivity.

Collective motion & elliptic flow (v₂)



Surprise: matter flows like a liquid



- huge pressure buildup
- large anisotropy → it all happens fast
- efficient equilibration mechanism??



Fluctuations matter!



- Reproduce with hydro
- IF include fluctuating initial conditions
- Provides a tool to better pin down the viscosity/ entropy ratio

- Nucleons move around inside the nucleus
- -> locations of NN scattering fluctuate
- -> apparent symmetry effects yielding only even harmonics not realistic



Hydro including event-by-event fluctuations

- Use the same Glauber model
- MC to allow nucleon positions to fluctuate

Generate an ensemble of hydro calculations with different initial states



Woods-Saxon nuclear density profile:

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0 \left(1 + wr^2/R^2\right)}{1 + \exp((r - R)/a)}$$

20

Higher moments more sensitive to viscosity



- Longitudinal expansion at v ~ c
- "freezes in" small shape perturbations e.g. triangular fluctuations (v₃)
- Viscosity is like friction!









Shear viscosity depends on temperature



Collective flow in small systems?



Significant v_N (n=2 to 5) with "familiar" ordering + shape in p_T

Multi-particle correlations (v2{4}≈v2{6}≈v2{8}≈v2{LYZ})

• What is going on?

Hydrodynamics in small(ish) systems? Correlations between particles produced in initial state? Radial flow an artefact of constant temperature freezeout surface?

Hot, dense gluonic matter is surprising Are cold dense gluons wierd too?



This is our initial state in heavy ion collisions!

Probe with e+A

Surprise: viscosity/entropy is small

- Viscosity: *inability* to transport momentum & sustain a wave
- low η → large σ, transports disturbances
- Viscosity/entropy near $1/4\pi$ limit from quantum mechanics!
- ... liquid at RHIC is "perfect"





Example: milk. Liquids with higher viscosities will not splash as high when poured at the same velocity.

Good momentum transport: neighboring fluid elements "talk" to each other

- \rightarrow QGP is strongly coupled
- Should be opaque:
- e.g. q,g collide with "clumps" of gluons, not individuals



p_T dependence of v₂



Do fast quarks & gluons escape the plasma? They feel the strong interaction, so they should interact



Energy loss even by very energetic q & g



• LHC experiments reach to 300 GeV!

Energy loss depends on medium density

In dilute medium

Independent processes: bremsstrahlung & scattering Calculate probabilities and add them up

Independent radiations follow Bethe-Heitler

In dense medium

Mean free path is short: $\lambda = \sigma/\rho$

Formation time of radiated gluon: $\tau = \omega/k_T^2$



Transverse momentum of radiated gluon: $k_T^2 = n\mu^2$

of collisions n=L/ λ , μ =typical p_T transfer in 1 scattering

 λ,μ are properties of the medium, combine to $q = \sqrt{\mu^2/\lambda}$

Coherence in the dense medium!

Next scattering takes place faster than gluon formation Add amplitudes for all multiple scatterings

In QCD this increases the energy loss!

some evidence for coherence already in cold matter!³¹





Interactions with plasma?

radiation (bremsstrahlung)

collisional energy loss

In plasma: interactions among charges of multiple particles charge is spread, screened in characteristic (Debye) length, λ_D also the case for strong, rather than EM force ... Effect on collisions?

 AdS/CFT says QGP is a strongly interacting field, model as ∞ strong Interact with this QGP as with a tiny black hole No particles to hit, none can survive inside. Eloss → collective excitations



Figure 2: Left: a screened attraction between static quark arises from a string dipping into AdS₅-Schwarzschild. Right: a drag force arises from a string tailing behind a moving quark.

Back to QCD...

Jet versus mini-jets

• At the LHC, the energy E of the leading particle is much higher

 $E \ge 100 \,\mathrm{GeV} \gg \omega_{\mathrm{br}} = \alpha_s^2 \hat{q} L^2 \simeq 4 \div 16 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}$ for $L = 3 \div 6 \,\,\mathrm{fm}$

- the leading particle cannot suffer democratic branchings
- it abundantly emits primary gluons with $p \lesssim \omega_{\rm br}(L)$
- these primary gluons generate 'mini-jets' via democratic branchings
- the energy flows from one parton generation to the next one



 $\Delta E_{\rm flow} \simeq 2.5 \,\omega_{\rm br}(L)$

- 'absorbed by the medium'
- is the medium a 'perfect sink' ?
- is the branching process affected by thermalization ?

35



Subsequent splittings drive color de-coherence of 1st generation emitted gluons & softer leading partons


How this is calculated

- There is a great paper comparing vacuum DGLAP evolution to an in-medium cascade (BDMPS), which depends on \hat{q} .
- See Blaizot and Mehtar-Tani:

http://arxiv.org/pdf/1501.03443v1.pdf

In medium cascade results in energy flow to x~0 due to multiple branchings. DGLAP has an infrared cutoff. DGLAP branching time is constant, while in BDMPS it decreases along the cascade. This allows transport of energy to very soft gluons in a finite amount of time. Result looks a lot like wave turbulence

A good topic for a (somewhat technical) QCD talk!

Jet asymmetry



38

Where does the lost energy go?

- We don't know yet!
- Medium enhances gluon radiation/splitting:

extra gluons at small angles (in/near jet cone)





radiated gluons thermalize in medium (i.e. they're gone!)

remain correlated with leading parton, but broaden/change jet



$$D(z) = 1/N_{jet} dN(z)/dz; z = p_{had}/p_{jet}$$

Jet Fragmentation function

Measure: count partners per trigger as fraction of trigger momentum



$$z_T = p_{Ta}/p_{Tt} \sim z$$
 for γ trigger
 $\xi = \ln(1/z_T)$

Modification factor similar to R_{AA}:

FFn experimental challenge: measure the parton p

Use trigger γ or jet

 $I_{AA} \equiv \frac{\left(\frac{1}{N_{trig}} dN/d\xi\right)_{AA}}{\left(\frac{1}{N_{trig}} dN/d\xi\right)_{pp}}$

40

Modified in AA vs. p+p



41

PHENIX: FFn via γ-h correlation

 γ : parton energy, h: fragmentation fn. "Extra" soft zΤ particles at 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.8 5 < p₊ < 9 GeV/c x 0.5 < p₊ < 7 GeV/c larger angles 2.5 ●I∆φ-πI**<**π/2 near the away 2 ■I∆φ-πI<π/3 _1.5 ₹ **▲Ι**Δφ-πΙ**<**π/6 Au+Au/ *p+p* 0.5 - global sys = $\pm 6\%$ (a) 0 3 I_{AA}(I∆φ-πI<π/2) I_{AA}(I∆φ-πI<π/6) ratio 2

side jet Provide constraints on gluon splitting **Perturbative?** 0-40% Au+Au @ 200 GeV (b) PHᢤENIX 0.5 1.5 2 0 2.5 ξ PRL 111, 032301 (2013) 42

G. Roland

Jets @ LHC **Jets @ RHIC** 1.8 $R_{AA}[D(\xi)]$ or $R_{Cp}[D(\xi)]$ 1.6 0-10%/60-80% p_^{jet} > 100 GeV/c 1.4 $p_T^{\text{jet,rec}} =$ Data Au+Au, 0-20% 10-15 GeV/c 20-40 GeV/c ي Awayside Gaussian Width σ_A C 1.5 0-10 % Centrality 1.2 Pb+Pb \ s_NN = 2.76 TeV • $|\Delta \phi - \pi| < \pi/2$ $5 < p_{T}^{\gamma} < 9$ GeV/c x $0.5 < p_{T}^{h} < 7$ GeV/c Data p+p 2.5 $L dt = 7 \mu b^{-1}$ YaJEM-DE Au+Au YaJEM-DE p+p <mark>□</mark>Ι∆φ-πΙ<π/3 0.8 158 < p_ < 182 GeV ____^{1.5}__▲Ι∆φ-πΙ<π/6 0.6 0.4 0.5 (a) 89 < p_ < 103 GeV 0 2 3 - global sys = ± 6% 4 5 (a). $\xi = \ln(1/z)$ detector uncertainty v₂ and v₃ uncertainty Awayside D_{AA} (GeV/c) trigger jet uncertainty ratio 2 50 < p_ < 58 GeV s_{NN} = 2.76 TeV CMS 1.2 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ 0.5 0-100% lηl < 2 0-40% Au+Au @ 200 GeV (b) _ PbPb, 150 µb 1.5 2 2.5 38 < p_ < 44 GeV 0 0.5 ξ pp, 5.3 pb⁻¹ 0.8 0.5 b-jet R 0.6 p_T^{assoc}⁸(GeV/c) R = 0.2R = 0.3R = 0.4R = 0.50.4 pQCD: PLB 726 (2013) 251-256 g^{med} = 1.8 0.2 g^{med} = 2.0 g^{med} = 2.2 Vacuum Jet 200 100 150 250 b-jet p_r (GeV/c) Jet broadening No Suppression of high-p_T particles Suppression of high-p_T particles **Small enhancement** of low-p_T particles **Enhancement of** significant large low-p_T particles angle radiation

A jet is a partonic probe

It's not a photon...

It can lose energy before the hard scattering
It can lose energy after the hard scattering

- It can experience multiple scattering
- These may not be independent!

These are not just "background" Higher-twist physics is interesting, not well understood, as well as relevant to parton-plasma interaction ! Should measure γ-h correlations in cold nuclear matter! this is underway in p+A! 44

Change the probe! pA vs. eA

Hadron-Hadron



- More direct information on the response of a nuclear medium to gluon probe
- Probe has structure as complex as the "target"
- Soft color interactions before the collision can alter the nuclear wave function and destroy universality of parton properties (break factorization)



- Point-like probe
- Dominated by single photon exchange ⇒ no direct color interaction ⇒ preserve the properties of partons in the nuclear wave function
- High precision & access to partonic kinematics
- Nuclei always "cold" nuclear matter (CNM)

Heavy quark probes of QGP

Charm quarks also lose energy and flow!



- Charm quarks diffusing through strongly coupled QGP
- Collisions drive energy loss and push charm along with the bulk



Upgrade ALICE for heavy quark probes in Run3

Silicon detector arrays around beam pipe
 Tag displaced vertex to separate c,b
 Reconstruct D & B mesons from their decay hadrons

MAPS technology used for STAR at RHIC







ALICE build now for Run3 (2020)



Flow and suppression as for light quarks





Is there a relevant screening length?

- Plasma: interactions among charges of multiple particles spreads charge into characteristic (Debye) length, λ_D particles inside Debye sphere screen each other
- Strongly coupled plasmas: few (~1-2) particles in Debye sphere Partial screening -> liquid-like properties sometimes even crystals!

• Test QGP screening with heavy quark bound states $c + \overline{c}$ and $b + \overline{b} : J/\psi \& Y$ Do they survive? All? None? Some? Which size?



Color screening in quark gluon plasma

• q-qbar potential in vacuum: $V(r) = \sigma r - \frac{\alpha}{r}$

screened: $V(r) = -\frac{\alpha}{r} \times e^{(-r/\lambda_D)}$

• Screening length in EM plasma:

$$\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{T}{8\pi\alpha\rho}}$$

in (ideal) QGP, particle density $\rho \propto T^3$ so: $\lambda_D \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi \alpha}T}$.

• For $\alpha_s \sim 0.2$, find:

J/ψ vs. system size, Vs



No clear suppression pattern with √s, T! Why more suppression at y=2? Late break-up? Final state coalescence of qq?

J/ ψ R_{AA} ~same from 17.5-200 GeV! 2.76 TeV direct J/ ψ lower at mid-y, inclusive above at forward y

Lose some and gain some

- QGP screens primordial c-cbar and b-bbar
 Melting depends on binding energy (i.e. size of bound state)
 Look at J/ψ vs. ψ' vs. Y states
- quarks find one another when system cools to T~150 MeV coalesce to form the hadrons we observe
- Occasionally a c can find a cbar "regeneration" or "coalescence" Probability increases with c-cbar pairs Increases with beam energy Confuses measurements of melting



- Measure energy & quark mass dependence to sort out
 - b's are rare, so Y is a good screening probe
- Initial state affects c&b production so must measure p+A!

Suppression pattern ingredients

- Color screeningFinal state coalescence
- Initial state effects
 Shadowing or saturation of incoming gluon distribution
 Initial state energy loss (calibrate with p+A or e+A)
- Final state effects Breakup of quarkonia due to co-moving hadrons (calibrate with A & centrality dependence)





Shadowing, breakup & Cronin effect PRC87, 034911 (2013) J/ψ 2.5 **Global Scale Uncertainty 8.3%** Kopeliovich et al. Lansberg et al. nDSg σ_{abs} =4.2 mb 0.6 0.2 0.5 -2.2<y<-1.2 a) d+Au -2.2 < y < -1.2 2.5 d+Au lyl < 0.35 -0.2**Global Scale Uncertainty 7.8%** d+Au 1.2 < y < 2.2 Kopeliovich et al. Lansberg et al. N_{Coll} $nDSg \sigma_{abs} = 4.2 mb$ ^{1.5} + p_τ broadens (multiple scattering) w/ N_{coll}; effect stronger at y=0 + J/ ψ suppressed to higher p_T @ mid & 0.5 lvl<0.35 b) forward y (lower x in Au); 2.5 **Global Scale Uncertainty 8.2%** + R_{dA} >1 at high p_{T} backward (Cronin Kopeliovich et al. effect in Au nucleus) Lansberg et al. nDSg σ_{abs} =4.2 mb + p_T, y, centrality dependence was not reproduced by the models 0.5 1.2<y<2.2 C) 2 3 5 8 6 p_{_} [GeV/c]



b-bar bound states at the LHC

Many c-cbar at LHC: coalescence important. Use b-bar probe



Probe nucleons & nuclei with electrons

How many gluons are there?
 Measure e+p, e+A → e



How are they distributed?

In space? In momentum?

Measure e+p, e+A \rightarrow e + hadrons, e + γ or J/ ψ

- How are they correlated inside nucleus?
 Measure e+p, e+A →
 e + ≥ 2 hadrons
- What's gluon range inside a nucleus? Measure hadron production





EIC kinematic reach



Electron-ion collider



backup slides

Questions



- <u>thermodynamic properties (equilibrium)</u>
 - **Τ, Ρ,** ρ

Equation Of State (relation btwn T, P, V, energy density)

- v_{sound}, static screening length
- transport properties (non-equilibrium)* particle number, energy, momentum, charge diffusion sound viscosity conductivity

In plasma: interactions among charges of multiple particles charge is spread, screened in characteristic (Debye) length, λ_D also the case for strong, rather than EM force

*measuring these is new for nuclear/particle physics!

Stochastic cooling keeps bunches tight

- Coulomb interactions among highly charged ions blow up the beam bunches
- Measure and send correcting kick to outliers (at each goround)





Huge increase in beam lifetime and luminosity!

Mechanism for fast thermalization?

- Must be thermalized in < 1 fm/c!
 Otherwise v₂ smaller than in the data
- Can this be achieved with gg, qg, and qq binary scatterings?
 - NO!
 - Making this picture yield sufficient v₂, requires boosting the pQCD parton-parton cross sections by a factor of ~50!

Mechanism not known yet. Perhaps start out with correlated gluonic matter at small x?

Elliptic flow scales with number of quarks



implication: valence quarks, not hadron pressure builds early, dressed quarks ar All particles flow as if frozen out from a flowing soup of constituent quarks



Calculating transport in QGP

<u>weak coupling limit</u> perturbative QCD kinetic theory, cascades interaction of particles <u>∞ strong coupling limit</u> not easy! Try a pure field... gravity ↔ supersym 4-d (AdS/CFT)





minimum η at phase boundary?



minimum observed in other strongly coupled systems – kinetic part of η decreases with Γ while potential part increases

Viscosity/Entropy (natural units)







More jet probes = more insight





away-side

near-side