# Outlook of the irradiated 3D pixel sensors characterization for the ATLAS ITk

Juan Ignacio Carlotto on behalf of IFAE Pixel Group

14-04-2023



#### ATLAS - ITk

- The LHC next upgrade is the High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) planned to start operation in 2029. ATLAS will replace its current tracker with a full silicon system called ITk.
- The hybrid pixel detectors of the innermost layer of the ITk will be exposed to a particle fluence of about  $1.7 \times 10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2$ .
- 3D pixel sensors have been chosen for the innermost pixel layer of ITk because of their high-radiation tolerance.
- Sensors for innermost layer of ATLAS ITk (L0, R0 and R0.5)
  - 3D silicon pixel sensors (50x50 μm<sup>2</sup> 1E and 25x100 μm<sup>2</sup> 1E cells).
  - 150 μm active thickness with 300 μm handle wafer.
  - Single side and SiSi technology
  - Sensor fabrication sites:
    - FBK (Trento-Italy); SINTEF (Norway); CNM (Barcelona-Spain)





### **3D pixel sensors**

In 3D pixel sensors, electrodes are etched deep in the silicon. Deposited charge does not depend on the distance (L) between electrodes.

#### Damage by radiation

- <u>Changing of a doping concentration</u>
  - Change of the depleted zone.
- <u>Damage in the silicon structure</u>
  - Probability to trap electrons affecting the signal.

#### Due to the less distance (L) between electrodes

- Less voltage to fully depleted.
- Lower probability of trapping.

#### First 3D pixel sensor compatible with the RD53A chip manufactured at CNM.

- Single sided process
  - Both p- and n-columns etched from the front.
  - p-stop isolation.
- Silicon on Insulator (SOI) wafers 150 μm active thickness with 300μm handle wafer.
- *Future productions:* Silicon on Silicon (SiSi)





#### **Sensor studies**

Measuring the performance of sensors at different bias voltages after being irradiated and determinate if they meet the requirements for ATLAS ITk in terms of:

- Leakage current
  - Depleted voltage and breakdown
- Power dissipation
  - Related to the temperature of the bare module
- Detection efficiency

#### Sensors tested in this presentation are:

- $50x50 \ \mu m^2$  1E pixel cell.
- 25x100 μm<sup>2</sup> 1E pixel cell.
- Both were flip-chipped to RD53A chip and mounted in a single chip card for testing.
- 50x50 was fully connected while the 25x100 had large disconnected areas on the right and left sides.



#### Irradiation history:

Both sensors were irradiated with proton beams in different facilities up to  $1.6e16 n_{eq}/cm^2$ 

α	TT74 1 P	1171 0 1
Sensor name	W4 1-5	W1 3-1
Pitch $[\mu m^2]$	$50 \times 50$	$25 \times 100$
First irradiation step		
Faciliy	KIT	KIT
$\Phi [n_{eq}/cm^2]$	$5.0  imes 10^{15}$	$5.1 \times 10^{15}$
TID [Mrad]	750	750
Second irradiation step		
Faciliy	CYRIC	BU
$\Phi [n_{eq}/cm^2]$	$4.8 \times 10^{15}$	$5.0 \times 10^{15}$
TID [Mrad]	350	665
Third irradiation step		
Faciliy	CYRIC	CYRIC
$\Phi [n_{eq}/cm^2]$	$6  imes 10^{15}$	$6 \times 10^{15}$
TID [Mrad]	350	350
Total		
$\Phi [n_{eq}/cm^2]$	$1.6 \times 10^{16}$	$1.6 \times 10^{16}$
TID [Mrad]	1450	1765

Table shows the Total Ionization Dose (TID) and the particle fluence at different radiation steps.

#### **Sensor IV and Power dissipation**



QA/QC requirements for sensors after irradiation during operation:

- Power consumption at -25°C: < 40 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Maximum current at -25°C < 0.20 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Maximum operation voltage: 250V



### **Sensor detection efficiency**

To study the hit efficiency of the sensor, it is necessary to carry out a test beam experiment.

Test beam

- Using an electron accelerator beam to study the hit efficiency of the sensors.
- Using the telescopes to reconstruct particle trajectory.
- Measuring the hit of the particle in the sensor
  - Hit in the sensor: efficiency increase.
  - No Hit in the sensor: efficiency decrease.
- **50x50** was measured at 0° (normal to the incident beam direction) and at 15° (because the columns)
- 25x100 was measured only at 0°.
- It is necessary to reach an efficiency higher than the 97% to meet ATLAS ITk specifications.



### **Efficiency vs bias voltage plot**



- Tilted 50x50 (green curve) reach an efficiency higher than 97% at 80V.
- 25x100 efficiency oscillates around 90%.
  - Very low statistic (large disconnected area and very few pixels analyzed)
  - Larger Total Ionization Dose (TID) and more noise in the front-end.
  - Larger threshold (and tails)



Efficiency curve

### Efficiency pixel map 50x50 at 70V

Efficiency pixel map for the same sensor (50x50) normal (left) and tilted 15° (right) at the same scale.

Efficiency in the corners, for the tilted one, is higher and more uniforms. Because the particle that passing through the columns do not deposit charge.







#### Conclusion

An outlook of the characterization procedure for 3D pixel sensors for the ATLAS ITk was presented

Although sensor technology used in this study was not the final choice by ATLAS ITk, the full performance procedure after irradiation was carried out.

#### Next steps

New Silicon-on-Silicon (SiSi) 25x100 1E device already mounted in a SCC and irradiated is waiting for being tested at CERN.

Set of SiSi devices at Fermilab already irradiated, waiting for shipping to CERN.

New SiSi pre-production at CNM in process.



## Thanks

#### 25x100 1E – disconnected areas

The noise scan of the 25x100 shows different noise distributions for the connected and disconnected pixels.





### Threshold scan after tuning

The RD53A chip prototype chip is made of 3 different analog FE parts.

The Lin FE was very noisy and could not be tuned, we measured only the Diff FE (selected for the final ALTAS ITkPix chip)

#### 50x50:

- Threshold value around 1000 e.
- The noise is lower than 100 e.

#### 25x100

- Threshold value around 1300 e.
- Large threshold range and tail.
- Two noise distributions for connected (around 300e) and disconnected (200e) pixels.







#### Tuning: noise mask

Very few pixels are masked in the 50x50 while the 25x100 was much more noisy probably due to the disconnected pixels.





### Masked pixel map at 100V

Sensor mask map shows the areas (white areas) where the reconstruction software considerer active for the track reconstruction.



Area of the 25x100 is very small because of a large disconnected area



### Full sensor hit map and Efficiency 50x50 at 100V

The left plot shows the area where the tracks are reconstructed (trigger window)

On the right plot the efficiency map is shown.



Track Map DUT 118

Efficiency Map DUT 118



#### Residual plot 25x100

Residual distributions as expected from the pixel size and the multiple scattering at DESY





#### Residual plot 50x50

Residual distributions as expected from the pixel size and the multiple scattering at DESY





### **Diodes IV and Pow**





### Efficiency vs bias voltage plot

Hit efficiency plot at different irradiation levels.



25x100

50x50

### RD53A run at CNM

First 3D sensor pixel compatible with the RD53A chip manufactured at CNM.

- Single sided process
  - Both p- and n-columns etched from the front
  - p-stop insulation
- Silicon on Isulator (SOI) wafers 150 µm active thickness with 300µm handle wafer
- Under-bond metallization were performed at CNM
- Flip-chip was carried out at IFAE.

#### The mask includes:

- 14x RD53A 50x50 µm<sup>2</sup> 1E
- 2x RD53A 25x100 µm<sup>2</sup> 1E
- 2x RD53A 25x100 μm<sup>2</sup> 2E
- 1x FE-l4 50x50 µm 1E
- Pad diodes of 50x50 µm<sup>2</sup>
- Pad diodes 25x100 µm<sup>2</sup>

#### Sensors tested in this presentation are:

- 50x50 µm<sup>2</sup> 1E pixels
- 25x100 µm<sup>2</sup> 1E pixels.



