Collinear dynamic beyond DGLAP

Hao Chen, <u>Max Jaarsma</u>, Yibei Li, Ian Moult, Wouter Waalewijn, Hua Xing Zhu

> arXiv:2210.10058 arXiv:2210.10061

University of Amsterdam

SCET 2023



What is there to love about tracks?

Precision

Track-based measurements can reach higher precision than calorimeter based measurements

- Superior angular resulution of tracking systems
- Pile-up removal



Track-based observables are not infrared-collinear safe

 \blacktriangleright KLN theorem: Not including all final states \Rightarrow IRC unsafe

Track function formalism

IR divergences are absorbed into non-perturbative track functions

Chang, Procura, Thaler, Waalewijn (2013)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}T_q = a_s \Big[K_{q \to q} \otimes T_q + K_{q \to qg} \otimes T_q T_g + K_{q \to qgg} \otimes T_q T_g T_g \\ + K_{q \to qq\bar{q}} \otimes T_q T_q T_{\bar{q}} + K_{q \to qQ\bar{Q}} \otimes T_q T_Q T_{\bar{Q}} + \dots \Big]$$



Outline

Introduction

- Definition and properties
- Evolution beyond DGLAP
 - Structure of the evolution
 - Calculation

Universality

- Reduction to DGLAP
- N-hadron fragmentation

Application

 $\blacktriangleright \ e^+e^- \rightarrow {\rm hadrons}$

Conclusion



Introduction

For a generic cross section with some observable \boldsymbol{e}

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}e} = \sum_{N} \int \mathrm{d}\Pi_{N} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{N}}{\mathrm{d}\Pi_{N}} \, \delta\big[e - \hat{e}(\{p_{i}\})\big]$$

Partonic cross section with N final state partons

Track function formalism (leading order) Observe on tracks only \Rightarrow attach track function to each parton

For the same observable on tracks \bar{e}

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\bar{e}} = \sum_{N} \int \mathrm{d}\Pi_{N} \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{\sigma}_{N}}{\mathrm{d}\Pi_{N}} \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{d}x_{i} T_{i}(x_{i})\right) \,\delta\big[\bar{e} - \hat{e}(\{x_{i}p_{i}\})\big]$$

Chang, Procura, Thaler, Waalewijn (2013)

Track function definition: English

 $T_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \stackrel{\text{LO}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Probability density of finding that the charged} \\ \text{particles fragmenting from a parton } i \text{ carry} \\ \text{a certain fraction } \boldsymbol{x} \text{ of the total momentum} \end{pmatrix}$

Track function definition: QFT

$$T_q(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_X \delta\left(\boldsymbol{x} - \frac{P_c^-}{P^-}\right) \int dy^+ d^{d-2} \boldsymbol{y} \, e^{iy^+ P^-/2} \\ \times \operatorname{tr}\left[\vec{p} \left\langle 0 \right| \chi_n(y^+, 0^-, \boldsymbol{y}) \left| X \right\rangle \left\langle X \right| \bar{\chi}_n(0) \left| 0 \right\rangle\right]$$

Like a FF, but measuring the momentum of a group of particles



Probability density concerning a subset of fragments

- \blacksquare Only has support for $\pmb{x} \in [0,1]$
- Normalised to 1

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \, T_i(x) = 1$$

Calculable scale dependence



Why should we care?

To achieve precise predictions for track-based observables the evolution of track functions must be known beyond leading order

But wait, there is more!

Predicts structure of IR divergences in perturbative calculations For fragmentation at NNLO see: Gehrmann, Schürmann (2022), Gehrmann, Stagnitto (2022)

Higher-order collinear corrections to next-gen parton showers Dasgupta et al. (2020). Li, Skands (2017), Höche, Prestel (2017), ...

Changing the scales



There goes linearity All parton branches contribute \Rightarrow Non-linear evolution equations

For the quark track function

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}T_q = a_s \Big[K_{q\to q} \otimes T_q + K_{q\to qg} \otimes T_q T_g + K_{q\to qgg} \otimes T_q T_g T_g \\ + K_{q\to qq\bar{q}} \otimes T_q T_q T_{\bar{q}} + K_{q\to qQ\bar{Q}} \otimes T_q T_Q T_{\bar{Q}} + \dots \Big]$$

At leading order track evolution kernels are just the DGLAP kernels

$$K_{q \to qg}^{(0)}(z, 1-z) = P_{qq}^{(0)}(z)$$



Problem: Track functions are scaleless objects

$$T_q(x) = \delta(1-x) + 2a_s C_F \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{uv}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{ir}}\right) \left[\frac{1+x^2}{1-x}\right]_+ + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2)$$

The burden of being scaleless Calculate evolution kernels \Rightarrow single out UV divergence

At leading order track evolution kernels are just the DGLAP kernels

$$K_{q \to qg}^{(0)}(z, 1-z) = P_{qq}^{(0)}(z)$$



Problem: Track functions are scaleless objects

$$T_q(x) = \delta(1-x) + 2a_s C_F \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{uv}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{ir}}\right) \left[\frac{1+x^2}{1-x}\right]_+ + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2)$$

The burden of being scaleless

Calculate evolution kernels \Rightarrow single out UV divergence

Indirect calculation

Calculate evolution via another object defined on tracks

Recipe for calculating evolution kernels to any order

- Calculate bare track jet function
- Treat overlapping divergences
- Renormalize the track jet function
- Extract evolution kernels from single poles

Calculate bare track jet function

Treat overlapping divergences

Renormalize the track jet function

Extract evolution kernels from single poles

Step 1: Calculate bare track jet function

Indirect calculation

Track evolution by matching track jet function onto track functions

Consider the standard invariant mass jet function

$$J_i^{\text{bare}}(s) = \sum_N \sum_{\{i_f\}} \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_N^c \, \frac{1}{S_{\{i_f\}}} \sigma_{i \to \{i_f\}} \big(\{z_f\}, \{s_{ff'}\}, s\big)$$

Now consider the same object, but on tracks

$$J_{\text{track},i}^{\text{bare}}(s,x) = \sum_{N} \sum_{\{i_f\}} \int d\Phi_N^c \frac{1}{S_{\{i_f\}}} \sigma_{i \to \{i_f\}} (\{z_f\}, \{s_{ff'}\}, s) \\ \times \int dx_1 \cdots dx_N \ T_{i_1}^{(0)}(x_1) \cdots T_{i_N}^{(0)}(x_N) \ \delta(x - z_1 x_1 \dots - z_N x_N)$$

Ritzmann, Waalewijn (2014) Li, Moult, Schrijnder van Velzen, Waalewijn, Zhu (2022,

Step 1: Calculate bare track jet function

Indirect calculation

Track evolution by matching track jet function onto track functions

Consider the standard invariant mass jet function

$$J_i^{\text{bare}}(s) = \sum_N \sum_{\{i_f\}} \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_N^c \, \frac{1}{S_{\{i_f\}}} \sigma_{i \to \{i_f\}} \big(\{z_f\}, \{s_{ff'}\}, s\big)$$

Now consider the same object, but on tracks

$$J_{\text{track},i}^{\text{bare}}(s,x) = \sum_{N} \sum_{\{i_f\}} \int d\Phi_N^c \frac{1}{S_{\{i_f\}}} \sigma_{i \to \{i_f\}} (\{z_f\}, \{s_{ff'}\}, s) \\ \times \int dx_1 \cdots dx_N \ T_{i_1}^{(0)}(x_1) \cdots T_{i_N}^{(0)}(x_N) \ \delta(x - z_1 x_1 \dots - z_N x_N)$$

Ritzmann, Waalewijn (2014) Li, Moult, Schrijnder van Velzen, Waalewijn, Zhu (2022)

How does this work?



For the standard jet function

$$J_i^{\text{bare}}(s) = \delta(s) J_{q \to q Q \bar{Q}}^{(0)} + \mathcal{L}_n(s) \text{ terms} + \dots$$

For the track jet function

$$J^{\mathsf{bare}}_{\mathsf{track},i}(s,x) = \delta(s) \, \mathcal{J}^{(0)}_{q \to q Q \bar{Q}} \otimes T^{(0)}_q T^{(0)}_Q T^{(0)}_{\bar{Q}}(x) + \dots$$

Kosower, Uwer (2003) Campbell, Glover (1998) Catani, Grazzini (1999)

Calculate bare track jet function

- Treat overlapping divergences
- Renormalize the track jet function
- Extract evolution kernels from single poles

Single momentum fraction \Rightarrow soft divergences at $z \rightarrow 0, 1$

$$\frac{1}{z^{1+\epsilon}} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}\delta(z) + \left[\frac{1}{z}\right]_+ + \dots \qquad \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \left[\frac{1}{z}\right]_+ f(z) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z}$$

Multiple momentum fractions \Rightarrow divergent regions overlap

> Partons can become soft either individually or simultaneous

$$\frac{1}{z_1^{\epsilon}(z_1+z_2)^{1+\epsilon}}$$

Sector decomposition



■ Divide the phase space up into 6 six sectors
sector 1: z₁ < z₂ < z₃, ...

Binoth, Heinrich (2000) Binoth, Heinrich (2004) Anastasiou, Melnikov (2004)

Change variables in each sector

Sector decomposition

Sector decomposition disentangles overlapping divergences

- Calculate bare track jet function
- Treat overlapping divergences
- Renormalize the track jet function
- Extract evolution kernels from single poles

Step 3: Renormalize the track jet function

A useful property

Renormalization of J is not sensitive to the momentum fractions

Renormalization kernels for the two jet functions are the same

$$\begin{split} J_i(s) &= \int_0^s \mathrm{d}s' \ Z_{J_i}(s') \ J_i^{\mathsf{bare}}(s-s') \\ J_{\mathsf{track},i}(s,x) &= \int_0^s \mathrm{d}s' \ Z_{J_i}(s') \ J_{\mathsf{track},i}^{\mathsf{bare}}(s-s',x) \end{split}$$

Ritzmann, Waalewijn (2014) Becher, Neubert (2006) Becher, Bell (2010)

- Calculate bare track jet function
- Treat overlapping divergences
- Renormalize the track jet function
- Extract the evolution kernels from the single poles

Step 4: Extract evolution from the single poles

After renormalization J_{track} will still contain (IR) poles in ϵ

 $J_q^{(2)}(s) =$ finite

$$J^{(2)}_{\mathsf{track},i}(s,x) = \mathsf{finite} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \delta(s) \, K^{(1)}_{q \to qgg} \otimes T^{(0)}_q T^{(0)}_g T^{(0)}_g(x) + \dots$$

Evolution kernels

Obtain evolution kernels from poles of J_{track} that remain after renormalization*

*Some one-loop cross terms need to be subtracted first

Results

- NLO kernels for all channels
- Correlations in fragmentation
- Agrees with moment analysis
- Public code available!
 - https://github.com/ HaoChern14/Track-Evolution





Universality

Claim

The track function evolution kernels are universal

What do we mean with this claim?

- Reduction to DGLAP kernels
- Reduction to the evolution of di-hadron fragmentation functions
- \blacksquare Generalization to N-hadron fragmentation functions

Track functions: All branches \Rightarrow non-linear evolution

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}T_i = K_{i\to i}\otimes T_i + K_{i\to i_1i_2}\otimes T_{i_1}T_{i_2} + K_{i\to i_1i_2i_3}\otimes T_{i_1}T_{i_2}T_{i_3} + \dots$

Fragmentation functions: One branch \Rightarrow linear evolution

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}D_{i\to h} = P_{ij}\otimes D_{j\to h}$$

Gribov, Lipatov (1972) Dokshitzer (1977) Altarelli, Parisi (1977)

Universality

The DGLAP kernels can be obtained from the track evolution kernels by integrating over all unobserved branches



$$\begin{aligned} P_{qq}(z) &= K_{q \to q} \delta(1-z) + K_{q \to qg}(z, 1-z) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qgg}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \, \delta(z-z_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \, \delta(z-z_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \, \delta(z-z_2) \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} P_{qq}(z) &= K_{q \to q} \delta(1-z) &+ K_{q \to qg}(z,1-z) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qgg}(z_1,z_2,z_3) \, \delta(z-z_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1,z_2,z_3) \, \delta(z-z_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1,z_2,z_3) \, \delta(z-z_2) \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} P_{qq}(z) &= K_{q \to q} \delta(1-z) &+ K_{q \to qg}(z,1-z) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qgg}(z_1,z_2,z_3) \, \delta(z-z_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1,z_2,z_3) \, \delta(z-z_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1,z_2,z_3) \, \delta(z-z_2) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1,z_2,z_3) \, \delta(z-z_2) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{split} P_{qq}(z) &= K_{q \to q} \delta(1-z) + K_{q \to qg}(z, 1-z) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qgg}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \, \delta(z-z_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \, \delta(z-z_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d} z_1 \, \mathrm{d} z_2 \, \mathrm{d} z_3 \, \delta(1-z_1-z_2-z_3) \, K_{q \to qq\bar{q}}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \, \delta(z-z_2) \\ &+ \dots \end{split}$$

Going beyond DGLAP

Evolution of track functions

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}T_i = K_{i\to i}\otimes T_i + K_{i\to i_1i_2}\otimes T_{i_1}T_{i_2} + K_{i\to i_1i_2i_3}\otimes T_{i_1}T_{i_2}T_{i_3} + \dots$

Evolution for di-hadron fragmentation functions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}D_{i\to h_1h_2} = \ldots \otimes D_{j\to h_1h_2} + \otimes \ldots D_{i_1\to h_1}D_{i_2\to h_2} + \ldots$$

Linear term: $i \to j \dots$ followed by $j \to h_1 h_2 \dots$ Quadratic term: $i \to i_1 i_2 \dots$ followed by $i_1 \to h_1 \dots$ and $i_2 \to h_2 \dots$

Konishi, Ukawa (1979) Sukhatme, Lassila (1980) Sukhatme, Lassila, Orava (1982) de Florian, Vanni (2004) Vendramin (1981) Majumder, Wang (2004)

Going beyond DGLAP

Evolution of track functions

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}T_i = K_{i\to i}\otimes T_i + K_{i\to i_1i_2}\otimes T_{i_1}T_{i_2} + K_{i\to i_1i_2i_3}\otimes T_{i_1}T_{i_2}T_{i_3} + \dots$

Evolution for di-hadron fragmentation functions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}D_{i\to h_1h_2} = \ldots \otimes D_{j\to h_1h_2} + \otimes \ldots D_{i_1\to h_1}D_{i_2\to h_2} + \ldots$$

• Linear term: $i \to j \dots$ followed by $j \to h_1 h_2 \dots$

Quadratic term: $i \to i_1 i_2 \dots$ followed by $i_1 \to h_1 \dots$ and $i_2 \to h_2 \dots$

Konishi, Ukawa (1979) Sukhatme, Lassila (1980) Sukhatme, Lassila, Orava (1982) de Florian, Vanni (2004) Vendramin (1981) Majumder, Wang (2004)

Reduction



Application

$e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$

■ w: Charged energy fraction

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{e^+e^-\to\mathrm{hadrons}}}{\mathrm{d}w}$

Convolution of track functions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}}{\mathrm{d}w} \propto \int \mathrm{d}x \ T_q(x) T_{\bar{q}}(w-x)$$

- Requires evolution of $T_i(x)$
- Significant error reduction



Energy correlators (work in progress)

What is an energy correlator?

Observable that describes correlations within the energy flow of a jet

Neutral particles are detected via calorimeter cells

Low angular resolution limits precision

Energy correlators on tracks

Measuring EECs on tracks allows for higher precision measurements

For all charged particles, measure the energies and angles

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{[N]}}{\mathrm{d}z} = \sum_{\{i\}} \int \mathrm{d}\sigma \, \frac{E_{i_1} \cdots E_{i_N}}{Q^N} \delta\left(z - \frac{1 - \cos\theta_{\max}}{2}\right)$$

Lee, Meçaj, Moult (2022) Dixon, Moult, Zhu (2019) Chen, Luo, Moult, Yang, Zhang (2020) Chen, Moult, Zhang, Zhu (2020)

Conclusions

Conclusion

- Track function formalism
 - Calculation of track-based observables
- Evolution
 - Evolution of track functions now known to NLO
- Universality
 - \blacktriangleright Reduction to DGLAP and N-hadron fragmentation
- Application
 - Working towards energy correlators on tracks

Main achievement

Universal collinear evolution kernels calculated to NLO

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2}T_q = a_s \Big[K_{q \to q} \otimes T_q + K_{q \to qg} \otimes T_q T_g + K_{q \to qgg} \otimes T_q T_g T_g \\ + K_{q \to qq\bar{q}} \otimes T_q T_q T_{\bar{q}} + K_{q \to qQ\bar{Q}} \otimes T_q T_Q T_{\bar{Q}} + \dots \Big]$$



Backup slides

$$\begin{split} K_{i \to i_1 i_2 i_3} \otimes T_{i_1} T_{i_2} T_{i_3}(x) \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \, T_{i_1}(x_1) T_{i_2}(x_2) T_{i_3}(x_3) \int \mathrm{d}z_1 \, \mathrm{d}z_2 \, \mathrm{d}z_3 \, \delta(1 - z_1 - z_2 - z_3) \\ &\times \delta(x - z_1 x_1 - z_2 x_2 - z_3 x_3) \, K_{i \to i_1 i_2 i_3}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} K_{i \to i_1 i_2 i_3} \otimes T_{i_1} T_{i_2} T_{i_3}(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^6 \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \, \mathrm{d}x_3 \, T_{i_1}(x_1) T_{i_2}(x_2) T_{i_3}(x_3) \int \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\times \delta \Big(x - {}^n z_1 x_1 - {}^n z_2 x_2 - {}^n z_3 x_3 \Big) \, {}^n \! K_{i \to i_1 i_2 i_3}(z,t) \end{split}$$

where

$$^{n}\!z_{i}\in\left\{ \frac{zt}{1+z+zt},\frac{z}{1+z+zt},\frac{1}{1+z+zt}\right\}$$